arXiv:1207.0965v1 [math.RA] 4 Jul 2012

ON THE BEHAVIOUR OF BRAUER p-DIMENSIONS UNDER FINITELY-GENERATED FIELD EXTENSIONS

I.D. CHIPCHAKOV

Abstract. Let E be a field of infinite absolute Brauer p-dimension $\mathrm{abrd}_p(E)$, for some prime number p, and let F/E be a finitely-generated extension of transcendency degree ≥ 1. This paper shows that, for each pair (m, n) of positive integers with $m \leq n$, there exists a central division F-algebra $D_{m,n}$ of exponent p^m and Schur index p^n. It also proves the existence of fields $E_k\colon\ k \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfying the following conditions, for each index k: (i) E_k is of Brauer dimension $\mathrm{Brd}(E_k) = k$; (ii) $\mathrm{abrd}_p(E_k) = \infty$, for all prime p with, possibly, finitely many exceptions explicitly depending on $\mathrm{char}(E_k)$.

1. Introduction and statements of the main results

Let E be a field, $s(E)$ the class of finite-dimensional associative central simple E-algebras, $d(E)$ the subclass of division algebras $D \in d(E)$, and for each $A \in s(E)$, let $[A]$ be the equivalence class of A in the Brauer group $\mathrm{Br}(E)$. It is known that $\mathrm{Br}(E)$ is an abelian torsion group (cf. [30], Sect. 14.4); in particular, $\mathrm{Br}(E)$ decomposes into the direct sum of its p-components $\mathrm{Br}(E)_p$, where p runs across the set $\mathbb{P}$ of prime numbers. Recall that, by Wedderburn's structure theorem (see, e.g., [30], Sect. 3.5), each $A \in s(E)$ is isomorphic to the full matrix ring $M_n(D_A)$ of order n over some $D_A \in d(E)$; the order n is uniquely determined by A and so is the underlying division algebra D_A, up-to an E-isomorphism. This implies the well-known fact that the dimension $[A\colon E]$ is a square of a positive integer $\deg(A)$. The main numerical invariants of A are the degree $\deg(A)$, the Schur index $\mathrm{ind}(A) = \deg(D_A)$, and the exponent $\exp(A)$, i.e. the order of $[A]$ as an element of $\mathrm{Br}(E)$. The following statements describe basic divisibility relations between $\mathrm{ind}(A)$ and $\exp(A)$, and gives an idea of their behaviour under the scalar extension map $\mathrm{Br}(E) \to \mathrm{Br}(R)$, when R/E is a field extension of finite degree $[R\colon E]$ (cf. [30], Sects. 13.4, 14.4 and 15.2):

(1.1) (i) $\exp(A)$ divides $\mathrm{ind}(A)$ and is divisible by every $p \in \mathbb{P}$ dividing $\mathrm{ind}(A)$. For each $B \in s(E)$ with $\mathrm{ind}(B)$ relatively prime to $\mathrm{ind}(A)$, $\mathrm{ind}(A \otimes_E B) = \mathrm{ind}(A).\mathrm{ind}(B)$; in particular, the tensor product $A \otimes_E B$ lies in $d(E)$, provided that $A \in d(E)$ and $B \in d(E)$;

(ii) $\mathrm{ind}(A)$, $\mathrm{ind}(A \otimes_E R)$, $\exp(A)$ and $\exp(A \otimes_E R)$ divide $\mathrm{ind}(A \otimes_E R)[R\colon E]$, $\mathrm{ind}(A)$, $\exp(A \otimes_E R)[R\colon E]$ and $\exp(A)$, respectively.

The following results show that statements (1.1) (i) fully describe the generally valid restrictions between Schur indices and exponents:

Key words and phrases. Brauer group, Relative Brauer group, Schur index, exponent, Brauer p-dimension, absolute Brauer p-dimension, rational extension, Galois extension.

(1.2) Given a pair (m, n) of positive integers, such that $n \mid m$ and n is divisible by any $p \in \mathbb{P}$ dividing m, there is a field F and an algebra $D \in d(F)$ with $\mathrm{ind}(D) = m$ and $\exp(D) = n$ (Brauer, see [30], Sect. 19.6). One can take as F any rational (i.e. purely transcendental) extension of infinite transcendency degree over an arbitrary field F_0 (see [30], Sect. 19.6, Proposition, with its proof, and the comment to Corollary 3.7).

A field E is said to be of Brauer p-dimension $\mathrm{Brd}_p(E) = n$, where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, if n is the least integer for which $\mathrm{ind}(D) \leq \exp(D)^n$ whenever $D \in d(E)$ and $[D] \in \mathrm{Br}(E)_p$. We say that $\mathrm{Brd}_p(E) = \infty$, if there exists a sequence $D_\nu \in d(E)$, $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $[D_\nu] \in \mathrm{Br}(E)_p$ and $\mathrm{ind}(D_\nu) > \exp(D_\nu)^\nu$, for each index ν. By an absolute Brauer p-dimension (abbr, $\mathrm{abrd}_p(E)$) of E, we mean the supremum $\sup\{\mathrm{Brd}_p(R)\colon\ R \in \mathrm{Fe}(E)\}$. The field E is called virtually perfect, if $\mathrm{char}(E) = 0$ or $\mathrm{char}(E) = q > 0$ and E is a finite extension of its subfield $E^q = \{e^q\colon\ e \in E\}$. In what follows, we denote by $\mathrm{trd}(F/E)$ the transcendency degree of any extension F/E.

Clearly, $\mathrm{Brd}_p(E) \leq \mathrm{abrd}_p(E)$, for every field E and $p \in \mathbb{P}$. It is known that $\mathrm{Brd}_p(E) = \mathrm{abrd}_p(E) = 1$, for every $p \in \mathbb{P}$, in the following cases: (i) E is a global or local field (see [31], (31.4) and (32.19)); (ii) E is the function field of an algebraic surface defined over an algebraically closed field E_0 [19], [22] (see also Remark 4.7). The suprema $\mathrm{Brd}(E) = \sup\{\mathrm{Brd}_p(E)\colon\ p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ and $\mathrm{abrd}(E) = \sup\{\mathrm{abrd}_p(E)\colon\ p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ are called a Brauer dimension and an absolute Brauer dimension of E, respectively. It would be of definite interest to know whether function fields of algebraic varieties defined over a global, local or algebraically closed field are of finite absolute Brauer dimensions.

Fields of finite absolute Brauer p-dimensions, for all $p \in \mathbb{P}$, make interest because of the following result concerning their locally finite-dimensional (abbr, LCF) central division algebras [5]:

Proposition 1.1. *Let E be a virtually perfect field with* $\mathrm{abrd}_p(E) < \infty$*, for every $p \in \mathbb{P}$, and let R be an associative central division* LCF*-algebra over E. Then R possesses an E-subalgebra $\widetilde{R}$, such that:*

(i) *$\widetilde{R}$ decomposes into a tensor product $\otimes_{p \in \mathbb{P}} R_p$, where $\otimes = \otimes_E$, $R_p \in d(E)$ and $[R_p] \in \mathrm{Br}(E)_p$, for each $p \in \mathbb{P}$;*

(ii) *Every finite-dimensional E-subalgebra of R is embeddable in $\widetilde{R}$;*

(iii) *R is isomorphic to $\widetilde{R}$, if the dimension $[R\colon E]$ is at most countable.*

Proposition 1.1 enables one to build up a satisfactory structure theory of central division LCF-algebras over a virtually perfect field E with $\mathrm{abrd}_p(E) < \infty$, $p \in \mathbb{P}$. In particular, the knowledge of the isomorphism classes from $d(E)$ makes it possible to describe, up-to an E-isomorphism, central division LCF-algebras of countable dimension over E (when E is a global or local field, this is demonstrated by [5], Theorem 4.2). This remark and the preceding observations raise interest in the following open problem:

(1.3) Find whether the class of fields E of finite absolute Brauer p-dimensions, for a fixed $p \in \mathbb{P}$ different from $\mathrm{char}(E)$, is closed under the formation of finitely-generated extensions.

The purpose of this paper is to shed light on the behaviour of Brauer p-dimensions $\mathrm{Brd}_p(F)$ of finitely-generated field extensions F/E, and of index-exponent relations in $d(F)$, by proving the following:

Theorem 1.2. *Let E be a field, $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and F/E a finitely-generated extension with $\mathrm{trd}(F/E) = \kappa \geq 1$. Then:*

(i) $\mathrm{Brd}_p(F) \geq \mathrm{abrd}_p(E) + \kappa - 1$ *in case* $\mathrm{abrd}_p(E) < \infty$ *and F/E is rational;*

(ii) $\mathrm{Brd}_p(F) = \infty$*, if* $\mathrm{abrd}_p(E) = \infty$*; in this case, $d(F)$ has a subset $\{D_{m,n} \colon\ n \in \mathbb{N}, m = 1, \dots, n\}$, such that* $\exp(D_{m,n}) = p^m$ *and* $\mathrm{ind}(D_{m,n}) = p^n$*, for each admissible pair (m, n);*

(iii) *$Brd_p(F) < \infty$, provided $p =$ $\mathrm{char}(E)$ and E is not virtually perfect; if* $\mathrm{char}(E) = p$ *and $[E \colon E^p] = p^{\nu} < \infty$, then $\nu + \kappa - 1 \leq$* $\mathrm{Brd}_p(F) < \nu + \kappa$*.*

It is well-known (cf. [21], Ch. X) that each finitely-generated extension F of a field E contains as a subfield a rational extension F_0 of E, such that $\mathrm{trd}(F_0/E) = \mathrm{trd}(F/E)$. This implies F/F_0 is finite, and enables one to deduce the following assertion from (1.1) and Theorem 1.2:

(1.4) If the answer to (1.3) is affirmative, for some $p \in \mathbb{P}$, $p \neq \mathrm{char}(E)$, and each finitely-generated extension F/E with $\mathrm{trd}(F/E) = \kappa \geq 1$, then there exists $c_{\kappa}(p) \in \mathbb{N}$, depending on E, such that $\mathrm{Brd}_p(\Phi) \leq c_{\kappa}(p)$ whenever Φ/E is a finitely-generated extension and $\mathrm{trd}(\Phi/E) < \kappa$.

The second main result of this paper can be stated as follows:

Theorem 1.3. *For each $q \in \mathbb{P} \cup \{0\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a field $E_{q,k}$ with $char(E) = q$, $Brd(E_q) = k$ and $abrd_p(E_{q,k}) = \infty$, for all $p \in \mathbb{P} \setminus P_q$, where $P_0 = \{2\}$ and $P_q = \{p \in \mathbb{P} \colon\ p \mid q(q-1)\}$ in case $q \in \mathbb{P}$. Moreover, if $q > 2$, then $E_{q,k}$ can be chosen so that $[E_{q,k} \colon E_{q,k}^q] = \infty$ and $abrd_q(E_{q,k}) = 0$.*

The proof of Theorem 1.3 shows that its concluding assertion remains valid when $q = 2$ and $k = 1$. In view of Theorem 1.2, this implies the existence of fields E with $\mathrm{Brd}(E) = 1$, whose finitely-generated nonalgebraic extensions have the property required by the former part of (1.2). As a matter of fact, it follows from Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 that if $E_{q,k}$ is not virtually perfect, then $\mathrm{Brd}_p(F) = \infty$, $p \in \mathbb{P} \setminus P'_q$, for every finitely-generated extension $F/E_{q,k}$ with $\mathrm{trd}(F/E_{q,k}) \geq 1$, where $P'_q = P_q \setminus \{q\}$. Moreover, it turns out that each pair (m, n) of integers satisfying the conditions of (1.2) and not divisible by any $p \in P'_q$ is equal to $(\exp(\Delta_{m,n}), \mathrm{ind}(\Delta_{m,n}))$, for some $\Delta_{m,n} \in d(E_{q,k})$. Thus Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 solve in the negative Problem 4.4 of [3], by proving in a strong form that the class of fields of finite Brauer dimensions is not closed under the formation of finitely-generated extensions.

Theorem 1.2 (i) shows that the solution to [3], Problem 4.5 (concerning the possibility to define a good notion of a field dimension $\dim(E)$) is negative except, possibly, in the case where $\mathrm{abrd}(E) < \infty$. In addition, it implies that if $\mathrm{abrd}(E) < \infty$ and the noted problem is solved affirmatively, for every field from the class $\mathrm{FG}(E)$ of finitely-generated extensions of E, then each $F \in \mathrm{FG}(E)$ satisfies the following much stronger inequalities than those conjectured by (1.4) when p runs across $\mathbb{P}$ (see also Remark 5.5):

(1.5) $\mathrm{abrd}(E)+\mathrm{trd}(F/E)-1 \leq \mathrm{abrd}(F) \leq \dim(F) \leq \mathrm{abrd}(E)+\mathrm{trd}(F/E) + c(E)$, for some integer $c(E)$ depending only on E.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is based on a characterization of fields of finite absolute Brauer p-dimensions, which generalizes Albert's theorem (see Lemma 3.5 and [1], Ch. XI, Theorem 3). Our proof also relies on results of valuation theory including lifting theorems over Henselian (valued) fields, theorems of Grunwald-Hasse-Wang type, and Ostrowski's theorem. Theorem 1.3 is proved by applying a standard method of realizing profinite groups as Galois groups [39], in combination with the Mel'nikov-Tavgen' theorem [25], and a construction of Henselian fields with prescribed properties of their value groups and residue fields (see, e.g., [15], Ch. 4, Sects. 6 and 7). The formula for $\mathrm{Brd}_p(K)$, where K is a field with a Henselian valuation v whose residue field $\widehat{K}$ satisfies the conditions $\mathrm{Br}(\widehat{K})_p = \{0\}$ and $\mathrm{char}(\widehat{K}) \neq p$, also plays a crucial role in our considerations (see Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2). The flexibility of this approach enables one to prove the following:

(1.6) (i) There exists a field E_1 with $\mathrm{abrd}(E_1) = \infty$ and $\mathrm{Brd}(L_1) < \infty$, for every finite extension L_1/E_1;

(ii) For any integer $n \geq 2$, there exists a Galois extension L_n/E_n, such that $[L_n \colon E_n] = n$, $\mathrm{Brd}_p(L_n) = \infty$, for infinitely many $p \in \mathbb{P}$, and $\mathrm{Brd}(M_n) < \infty$, provided that $M_n \in I(L_{n,\mathrm{sep}}/E)$ and M_n does not include L_n.

Let us note that our basic notation and terminology are standard as those used in [6] and [7]. For convenience of the reader, we recall in Section 2 some basic concepts of valuation theory. As usual, $[r]$ denotes the integral part of any real number $r \geq 0$. Throughout this paper, $r_p(E)$ stands for the rank of the Galois group $\mathcal{G}(E(p)/E)$ of the maximal p-extension $E(p)$ of E in E_{sep}, for each $p \in \mathbb{P}$ (in particular, $r_p(E) = 0$ in case $E(p) = E$). The reader is referred to [21], [30] and [36], for any missing definitions concerning field extensions, simple algebras, Brauer groups and Galois cohomology.

Here is an overview of the paper: Section 2 includes preliminaries used in the sequel. Theorem 1.2 is proved in Sections 3 and 4. In Section 5, we compute $\mathrm{abrd}_p(K)$, for a Henselian field (K, v), such that $\mathrm{char}(\widehat{K}) \neq p$ and the absolute Galois group $\mathcal{G}_{\widehat{K}} = \mathcal{G}(\widehat{K}_{\mathrm{sep}}/\widehat{K})$ is of cohomological p-dimension $\mathrm{cd}_p(\mathcal{G}_{\widehat{K}}) \leq 1$. This is used for proving (1.6) and Theorem 1.3, and for describing the pairs $(\mathrm{Brd}_p(K), \mathrm{abrd}_p(K))$, $p \in \mathbb{P}$, when (K, v) runs across Henselian fields with $\widehat{K}$ finite of characteristic q. We also supplement the latter assertion of (1.2) and show that the answer to (1.3) will be affirmative, if this is the case in zero characteristic (see Corollary 5.8 and Remark 5.7).

2. **Preliminaries on valuation theory**

The results of this Section are known and will often be used without an explicit reference. We begin with a lemma essentially due to Saltman [33].

Lemma 2.1. *Let K be a field with a nontrivial real-valued valuation v, and let K_v be a Henselization of K in K_{sep} relative to v. Assume that $\Delta_v \in d(K_v)$ is an algebra of prime exponent p. Then there exists $\Delta \in d(K)$, such that* $\exp(\Delta) = p$ *and* $[\Delta \otimes_K K_v] = [\Delta_v]$.

Proof. Since, by [26], Sect. 4, Theorem 2, Δ_v is Brauer equivalent to a tensor product of algebras from $d(K_v)$ of index p, it is sufficient to prove our assertion in the special case where $\mathrm{ind}(\Delta_v) = p$. Then Saltman's theorem (cf. [33]) ensures the existence of $\Delta \in d(K)$, such that $\Delta \otimes_K K_v$ is K_v-isomorphic to Δ_v, so Lemma 2.1 is proved. □

Our next lemma is a special case of the Grunwald-Wang theorem (cf. [24], Theorems 1 and 2).

Lemma 2.2. *Let F be a field, $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_s\}$ a finite set of non-equivalent nontrivial real-valued valuations of F, and for each index j, let F_{v_j} be a Henselization of K in K_{sep} relative to v_j, and L_j/F_{v_j} a cyclic field extension of degree p^{μ_j}, for some $p \in P$ and $\mu_j \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose further that if $p = 2$ and $\mathrm{char}(F) = 0$, then $\sqrt{-1} \in F$. Then there exists a cyclic field extension L/F of degree $p^{\mu} = \max\{p^{\mu_1}, \dots, p^{\mu_s}\}$, such that the Henselization $L_{v'_j}$ is F_{v_j}-isomorphic to L_j, where v'_j is a valuation of L extending v_j, for $j = 1, \dots, s$.*

A Krull valuation v of a field K is said to be Henselian, if v is uniquely, up-to an equivalence, extendable to a valuation v_L on each algebraic extension L/K. When this holds, we denote by $v(L)$ the value group and by $\widehat{L}$ the residue field of (L, v_L). It is well-known that if v is Henselian, then $\widehat{L}/\widehat{K}$ is an algebraic extension and $v(K)$ is a subgroup of $v(L)$. Moreover, Ostrowski's theorem states the following (cf. [14], Theorem 17.2.1):

(2.1) If L/K is finite, then $[L\colon K]$ is divisible by $[\widehat{L}\colon \widehat{K}]e(L/K)$ and $[L\colon K][\widehat{L}\colon \widehat{K}]^{-1}e(L/K)^{-1}$ is not divisible by any $p \in \mathbb{P}$ different from $\mathrm{char}(\widehat{K})$, where $e(L/K)$ is the index of $v(K)$ in $v(L)$; in particular, if $\mathrm{char}(\widehat{K}) \dagger [L\colon K]$, then $[L\colon K] = [\widehat{L}\colon \widehat{K}]e(L/K)$.

Statement (2.1) and the Henselity of v imply the following:

(2.2) The quotient groups $v(K)/pv(K)$ and $v(L)/pv(L)$ are isomorphic, provided that $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and L/K is a finite field extension. Moreover, if $\mathrm{char}(\widehat{K}) \dagger [L\colon K]$, then the natural embedding of K into L induces canonically an isomorphism $v(K)/pv(K) \cong v(L)/pv(L)$.

As usual, a finite extension R of K is said to be defectless, if $[R\colon K] = [\widehat{R}\colon \widehat{K}]e(R/K)$, and it is called inertial, if $[R\colon K] = [\widehat{R}\colon \widehat{K}]$ and $\widehat{R}$ is separable over $\widehat{K}$. We say that R/K is totally ramified, if $[R\colon K] = e(R/K)$. The extension R/K is called tamely ramified, if $\widehat{R}/\widehat{K}$ is separable and $\mathrm{char}(\widehat{K}) \dagger e(R/K)$. It follows from the Henselity of v that the compositum K_{ur} of inertial extensions of K in K_{sep} has the following properties:

(2.3) (i) Finite extensions of K in K_{ur} are inertial, and for each finite extension $\widetilde{L}$ of $\widehat{K}$ in $\widehat{K}_{\mathrm{sep}}$, there is an inertial extension L/K with $\widehat{L} \cong \widetilde{L}$ over $\widehat{K}$;

(ii) K_{ur}/K is a Galois extension, $\widehat{K}_{\mathrm{ur}}$ is a separable closure of $\widehat{K}$, $\mathcal{G}(K_{\mathrm{ur}}/K) \cong \mathcal{G}_{\widehat{K}}$ and $v(K_{\mathrm{ur}}) = v(K)$.

Recall that the compositum K_{tr} of tamely ramified extensions of K in K_{sep} is a Galois extension of K with $v(K_{\mathrm{tr}}) = pv(K_{\mathrm{tr}})$, for every $p \in \mathbb{P}$ different from $\mathrm{char}(\widehat{K})$. It is therefore clear from (2.1) that if $K_{\mathrm{tr}} \neq K_{\mathrm{sep}}$, then $\mathrm{char}(\widehat{K}) = q \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{G}_{K_{\mathrm{tr}}}$ is a pro-q-group. When this holds, the Mel'nikov-Tavgen' theorem [25], combined with (2.1), (2.2) and Galois theory, implies the existence of a field $K' \in I(K_{\mathrm{sep}}/K)$ satisfying the following conditions:

(2.4) $K' \cap K_{\mathrm{tr}} = K$, $K'K_{\mathrm{tr}} = K_{\mathrm{sep}}$ and K_{sep} is K-isomorphic to $K_{\mathrm{tr}} \otimes_K K'$; also, $\widehat{K}'$ is a perfect closure of $\widehat{K}$, finite extensions of K in K' are of q-primary degrees, $K_{\mathrm{sep}} = K'_{\mathrm{tr}}$, $v(K') = qv(K')$, and the natural embedding of K into K' induces isomorphisms $v(K)/pv(K) \cong v(K')/pv(K')$, $p \in \mathbb{P} \setminus \{q\}$.

Assuming as above that (K, v) is a Henselian field, recall that each $\Delta \in d(K)$ is endowed with a unique, up-to an equivalence, valuation v_Δ extending v so that the value group $v(\Delta)$ of (Δ, v_Δ) is abelian (cf. [38] and [16]). It is well-known that $v(K)$ is a subgroup of $v(\Delta)$ of index $e(\Delta/K) \leq [\Delta\colon K]$, the residue division ring $\widehat{\Delta}$ of (Δ, v_Δ) is a $\widehat{K}$-algebra, and $[\widehat{\Delta}\colon \widehat{K}] \leq [\Delta\colon K]$. More precisely, by the Ostrowski-Draxl theorem [11], $[\Delta\colon F]$ is divisible by $e(\Delta/F)[\widehat{\Delta}\colon \widehat{F}]$, and in case $\mathrm{char}(\widehat{K}) \dagger [\Delta\colon K]$, we have $[\Delta\colon K] = e(\Delta/K)[\widehat{\Delta}\colon \widehat{K}]$. A division algebra $D \in d(K)$ is said to be inertial, if $[D\colon K] = [\widehat{D}\colon \widehat{K}]$ and $\widehat{D} \in d(\widehat{K})$. In what follows, we use at crucial points the following result (see [18], Theorem 2.8):

(2.5) (i) For each $\widetilde{D} \in d(\widehat{K})$, there exists a unique, up-to an F-isomorphism, algebra $D \in d(K)$, which is inertial over K with $\widehat{D} = \widetilde{D}$.

(ii) The set $\mathrm{IBr}(K)$ of Brauer equivalence classes of inertial K-algebras forms a subgroup of $\mathrm{Br}(K)$; the canonical mapping of $\mathrm{IBr}(K)$ into $\mathrm{Br}(\widehat{K})$ is a group isomorphism.

The following lemma plays a crucial role in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 2.3. *Let K_0 be a perfect field of characteristic $q \geq 0$, and let $n(p)\colon\ p \in \mathbb{P}$, be a sequence of elements of $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$. Then there exists a Henselian field (K, v) with $\mathrm{char}(K) = q$ and a residue field $\widehat{K} = K_0$, and such that the group $v(K)/pv(K)$ has dimension $n(p)$ as a vector space over the field $\mathbb{F}_p$ with p elements, for each $p \in \mathbb{P}$, where $v = \omega_K$. Moreover, if $q > 0$, then K can be chosen so that $[K\colon K^q] = n(q)$ and finite extensions of K be defectless relative to v.*

Proof. Let K_∞ be an extension of K_0 obtained as the union $K_\infty = \cup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} K_n$ of iterated formal Laurent power series fields, defined inductively by the rule $K_n = K_{n-1}((X_n))$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote by ω_n the standard valuation of K_n acting trivially on K_0, and such that $\omega_n(K_n) = \mathbb{Z}^n$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Here $\mathbb{Z}^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are viewed as ordered groups with respect to the inverse lexicographic ordering. Let ω be the natural valuation of K_∞ extending ω_n, for every index n. Clearly, K_0 is the residue field of (K_∞, ω) and $\omega(K_\infty)$ equals the union $\mathbb{Z}^\infty = \cup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} Z^n$, considered with its unique ordering extending the noted orderings on $\mathbb{Z}^n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is well-known that the valuations ω_n, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are Henselian, which implies that ω is of the same kind. Fix an algebraic closure $\overline{K}_\infty$ of K_∞ as well as a divisible hull $\overline{V}(K_\infty)$ of $\omega(K_\infty)$, and for each $R \in I(\overline{K}_\infty/K_\infty)$, let ω_R be the unique valuation of R extending ω so that $\omega(R)\colon = \omega_R(R)$ be an ordered subgroup of $\overline{V}(K_\infty)$. Clearly, ω_R is

Henselian whenever $R \in I(\overline{K}_\infty/K_\infty)$. Note also that finite extensions of K_n are defectless relative to ω_n, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In addition, it is not difficult to see that each extension K'_∞ of K_∞ possesses a subfield $K'_{\bar{n}}$ including $K_{\bar{n}}$ so that $K'_\infty = K'_{\bar{n}} K_\infty$ and $[K'_{\bar{n}} \colon K_{\bar{n}}] = [K'_\infty \colon K_\infty]$, for some index $\bar{n}$. These observations show that the valued field (K_∞, ω) has the properties required by the lemma in the case of $n(p) = \infty$, for every $p \in \mathbb{P}$.

Henceforth, we assume that the set $P = \{p \in \mathbb{P} \colon\ n(p) < \infty\}$ is nonempty. For any $p \in P$ and each index $n > n(p)$, let $\Sigma_{p,n} = \{Y_{p,n,m} \colon\ m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a subset of $\overline{K}_\infty$, such that $Y^p_{p,n,1} = X_n$ and $Y^p_{p,n,m} = Y_{p,n,(m-1)}$, for every integer $m \geq 2$. Put $\Sigma = \cup_{p \in P} \Sigma_p$, where $\Sigma_p = \cup_{n=n(p)+1}^{\infty} \Sigma_{p,n}$, for each index p, and denote by $\widetilde{K}$ the extension of K_∞ generated by Σ. It is easily verified that finite extensions of K_∞ in $\widetilde{K}$ are totally ramified, and for each $p \in P$, $n(p)$ equals the dimension of $\omega(\widetilde{K})/p\omega(\widetilde{K})$ as a vector space over $\mathbb{F}_p$. In view of (2.1), this means that $(\widetilde{K}, \omega_{\widetilde{K}})$ has the property required by Lemma 2.3 in case $q = 0$. Similarly, it is clear that the same holds, if $q > 0$ and $n(q) = \infty$. Suppose now that $q > 0$ and $n(q) = 0$ (i.e. $\omega(\widetilde{K}) = q(\widetilde{K})$). By (2.4) (ii), there exists $\Theta \in I(\overline{K}_\infty/\widetilde{K})$, such that $\Theta \cap \widetilde{K}_{\rm tr} = \widetilde{K}$ and $\Theta\widetilde{K}_{\rm tr}$ equals the separable closure of $\widetilde{K}$ in $\overline{K}_\infty$. In addition, (2.4) (ii) and the assumption that K_0 is perfect indicate that $\Theta_{\rm sep} = \Theta_{\rm tr}$, $\widehat{\Theta} = K_0$, $\omega(\Theta) = q\omega(\Theta)$, and for each $p \in \mathbb{P}$, $\omega(\Theta)/p\omega(\Theta)$ has dimension $n(p)$ as an $\mathbb{F}_p$-vector space. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.3 in case $n(q) = 0$.

It remains for us to consider the case where $0 < n(q) < \infty$. Let $n(q) = n$, Θ_n be an iterated formal Laurent power series field in n indeterminates over Θ, κ the standard $\mathbb{Z}^n$-valued valuation of Θ_n acting trivially upon Θ, and w the valuation of Θ_n extending ω_Θ so that $\omega(\Theta)$ be an isolated subgroup of $w(\Theta_n)$, $w(\Theta_n)$ the direct sum $\omega(\Theta) \oplus \kappa(\Theta_n)$, and κ be induced canonically by w and $\omega(\Theta)$. Then w is Henselian (e.g., by [8], Proposition 2.1), and it is easy to see that n equals the dimension of $\kappa(\Theta_n)/p\kappa(\Theta_n)$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$, for each $p \in \mathbb{P}$. Let finally K be a maximal extension of Σ_n in $\Sigma_{n,{\rm tr}}$ with respect to the property that its finite subextensions are totally ramified over Σ_n. Then $\kappa(K) = p\kappa(K)$, $p \in \mathbb{P}\setminus\{q\}$, and $q \dagger [\Sigma'_n \colon \Sigma_n]$, for any $\Sigma'_n \in {\rm Fe}(\Sigma_n) \cap I(K/\Sigma_n)$, so it follows from (2.2) and [7], (1.2), that the natural embedding of Σ_n into K induces an isomorphism $\kappa(\Theta_n)/q\kappa(\Theta_n) \cong \kappa(K)/q\kappa(K)$. In view of the obtained properties of (Θ, ω_Θ), these observations show that (K, v) has the properties required by Lemma 2.3, for $v = w_K$, so our proof is complete. $\square$

For a proof of the following lemma, we refer the reader to [9], Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 2.4. *Assume that* c_p: $p \in \mathbb{P}$, *is a sequence of positive integers, such that* c_p *divides* $p - 1$, *for each* p, *and* P *is a subset of* $\mathbb{P}$ *including the set* Π *of those* $\pi \in \mathbb{P}$, *for which there exists* $p_\pi \in \mathbb{P}$ *with* c_{p_π} *divisible by* π. *Then there exists a field* E_0 *of zero characteristic, such that* $\mathcal{G}_{E_0}$ *is continuously isomorphic to the topological group product* $\mathbb{Z}_P = \prod_{p \in P} \mathbb{Z}_p$, *and* $[E_0(\varepsilon_p) \colon E_0] = c_p$, *where* ε_p *is a primitive* p*-th root of unity in* $E_{0,{\rm sep}}$.

Lemma 2.5. *Assume that* E_0 *is a field, such that* $\mathcal{G}_{E_0}$ *is of cohomological dimension* ${\rm cd}(\mathcal{G}_{E_0}) \leq 1$. *Suppose further that* G *is a profinite group with*

$\mathrm{cd}(G) \leq 1$ *and* $\mathrm{cd}_p(G) = 0$ *whenever* $p \in \mathbb{P}$ *and* $\mathrm{cd}_p(\mathcal{G}_{E_0}) \neq 0$. *Then there exists a field extension* E/E_0*, such that* E_0 *is algebraically closed in* E *and* $\mathcal{G}_E$ *is isomorphic to the topological group product* $\mathcal{G}_{E_0} \times G$.

Proof. It is known (cf. [39]) that there exist extensions R and R' of E_0, such that R/E_0 is rational, $R \in I(R'/E_0)$ and R'/R is a Galois extension with $\mathcal{G}(R'/R) \cong G$. Identifying $E_{0,\mathrm{sep}}$ with its E_0-isomorphic copy in R'_{sep}, and observing that E_0 is algebraically closed in R', one obtains that $E_{0,\mathrm{sep}}R'/R$ is Galois with $\mathcal{G}(E_{0,\mathrm{sep}}R'/R) \cong \mathcal{G}_{E_0} \times G$. In view of the assumptions on $\mathcal{G}_{E_0}$ and G, this ensures that $\mathrm{cd}(\mathcal{G}(E_{0,\mathrm{sep}}R'/R)) = 1$, which means that $\mathcal{G}(E_{0,\mathrm{sep}}R'/R)$ is a projective profinite group, in the sense of [17]. Hence, by Galois theory, there is a field $E \in I(R'_{\mathrm{sep}}/R)$, such that $E_{0,\mathrm{sep}}R'E = R'_{\mathrm{sep}}$ and $(E_{0,\mathrm{sep}}R') \cap E = R$. This indicates that E_0 is algebraically closed in E and $\mathcal{G}_E \cong \mathcal{G}(E_{0,\mathrm{sep}}R'/R) \cong \mathcal{G}_{E_0} \times G$, as required by Lemma 2.5. □

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2 (i) and (iii)

The main steps towards the proof of Theorem 1.2 (iii) are presented by the following three lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that* K *is a field of characteristic* $q > 0$*, and* F/K *is a finitely-generated field extension with* $\mathrm{trd}(F/K) \geq 1$. *Then* $\mathrm{abrd}_q(F) = \infty$ *if and only if* $[K\colon K^q] = \infty$. *When this holds, there exists a sequence* $\Delta_\nu \in d(F)$, $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, *such that* $\mathrm{ind}(\Delta_\nu) = p$ *and* $d(F)$ *contains the* F*-algebra* $D_\nu = \otimes_{\kappa=1}^{\nu}\Delta_\kappa$, *where* $\otimes = \otimes_F$, *for each index* ν; *in particular,* $\mathrm{Brd}_q(F) = \infty$.

Proof. Suppose first that $[K\colon K^q] = q^t$, for some integer $t \geq 0$. Then $[\Phi\colon \Phi^q] = q^{t+1}$, for each finite extension Φ/F (see [21], Ch. VII, Sect. 7), and by [1], Ch. VII, Theorem 28, every $D \in d(\Phi)$ with $\exp(D) = q$ is split over Φ by a purely inseparable extension Φ_1/Φ of degree q^{t+1}. Hence, by (1.1) (ii), $\mathrm{abrd}_q(F) \leq t+1$. Assume now that $[K\colon K^q] = \infty$. Then $[R\colon R^q] = \infty$, for every finite extension R/K, which enables one to prove that $\mathrm{Brd}_q(F) = \infty$, by applying the following lemma to any discrete valuation of F acting trivially on K. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let* (K, v) *be a Krull valued field with* $\mathrm{char}(K) = q > 0$ *and* $[\widehat{K}\colon \widehat{K}^q] = \infty$. *Then there exists a sequence* $\overline{\Delta} = \Delta_i \in d(K)$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, *such that* $\mathrm{ind}(\Delta_i) = q$, *for each index* i, *and the algebras* $D_n = \otimes_{i=1}^{n}\Delta_i$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, *lie in* $d(K)$ *(where* $\otimes = \otimes_K$*). Moreover,* $\overline{\Delta}$ *can be chosen so that, for each* $n \in \mathbb{N}$, v *be extendable to a valuation* v_n *of* D_n, *such that* $v_n(D_n) = v(K)$ *and the residue algebra* $\widehat{D}_n/\widehat{K}$ *is a degree* q^{2n} *purely inseparable field extension.*

Proof. Consider the polynomials $h(X) = X^q - X - a\pi^{-q}$ and $j(X) = X^q - \pi^{q-1}X - a$, where a and π are elements of K^*, such that $v(\pi) > v(a) = 0$ and the residue class $\hat{a}$ is out of $\widehat{K}^q$. It is not difficult to see that h and j are irreducible over K and share a common root field $M = M_{a,\pi} \subset K_{\rm sep}$. Moreover, it follows from the Artin-Schreier theorem (cf. [21], Ch. VIII, Sect. 6) that M/K is a degree q cyclic extension. These observations indicate that M has a unique valuation v_M extending v, and the residue field $\widehat{M}$ of (M, v_M) is a degree q purely inseparable extension of $\widehat{K}$ obtained by adjunction of a q-th root of $\hat{a}$. In other words, for each degree q purely inseparable field extension $\widetilde{L}/\widehat{K}$, there exists a degree q extension L of K in $K(q)$, which has a valuation v_L extending v with $v_L(L) = v(K)$ and $\widehat{L} \cong \widetilde{L}$ over $\widehat{K}$. Observe now that the equality $[\widehat{K}\colon \widehat{K}^q] = \infty$ ensures the existence of elements $\tilde{a}_n, \tilde{b}_n \in \widehat{K}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $[\widehat{K}^q(\tilde{a}_j, \tilde{b}_j\colon\ j = 1, \dots, n)\colon \widehat{K}^q] = q^{2n}$, for each index n. This implies that the quotient rings $\widetilde{L}_n = \widehat{K}[X]/(X^q - \tilde{a}_n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, of the polynomial ring $\widehat{K}[X]$ are purely inseparable extensions of $\widehat{K}$ of degree q. Identifying $\widetilde{L}_n$ with their $\widehat{K}$-isomorphic copies in an algebraic closure $\overline{\widehat{K}}$ of $\widehat{K}$, one also concludes that $[(\widetilde{L}_1 \dots \widetilde{L}_n)\colon \widehat{K}] = q^n$ and $\tilde{b}_m \notin (\widetilde{L}_1 \dots \widetilde{L}_n)^q$, for any pair of indices m, n (cf. [21], Ch. VII, Sect. 7). Let now $\widetilde{M}_n$ be the extension of $\widetilde{L}_n$ in $\overline{\widehat{K}}$ obtained by adjunction of a q-th root of $\tilde{b}_n$, and let (L_n, w_n) be a valued extension of (K, v), such that $w_n(L_n) = v(K)$, $\widehat{L}_n = \widetilde{L}_n$, $[L_n\colon K] = q$ and $L_n \subseteq K(q)$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider now the cyclic K-algebras $\Delta_n = (L_n/K, \varphi_n, b_n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where φ_n is a generator of $\mathcal{G}(L_n/K)$ and b_n is a pre-image of $\tilde{b}_n$ in the valuation ring $O_v(K)$ of (K, v), for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Proceeding by induction on n, one obtains that these algebras have the properties required by Lemma 3.2. More precisely, one proves that the K-algebra D_n lies in $d(K)$ and has a valuation v_n extending v with $v_n(D_n) = v(K)$ and $\widehat{D}_n \cong \widetilde{M}_1 \dots \widetilde{M}_n$ over $\widehat{K}$, for each index n. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. *Let* (K, v) *be a valued field with* $\mathrm{char}(K) = q > 0$ *and* $v(K) \neq qv(K)$, *and let* $\tau(q)$ *be the dimension of* $v(K)/qv(K)$ *over* $\mathbb{F}_q$. *Then:*

(i) *For each* $\pi \in K^*$ *with* $v(\pi) \notin qv(K)$, *there is a sequence* $L_m\colon\ m \in \mathbb{N}$, *of degree* q *cyclic extensions of* K *in* $K_{\rm sep}$, *such that the compositum* $M_m = L_1 \dots L_m$ *is totally ramified over* K, $[M_m\colon K] = q^m$, *and* $v(\pi) \in q^m v(M_m)$, *for each index* m*;*

(ii) *There exists* $T_n \in d(K)$ *with* $\exp(T_n) = q$ *and* $\mathrm{ind}(T_n) = q^{n-1}$, n *being an integer* ≥ 2, *except, possibly, in the case where* $\widehat{K}$ *is virtually perfect and* $n > \tau(q) + \log_q[\widehat{K}\colon \widehat{K}^q]$.

Proof. One may assume without loss of generality that π is chosen so that $v(\pi) < 0$. Fix a Henselization $(K_v, \bar{v})$ of (K, v), and for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, put $f_m(X) = X^q - X - \pi_m$, where $\pi_m = \pi^{1+qm}$, and denote by L_m the root field of the polynomial $f_m(X)$ in $K_{\rm sep}$. Identifying K_v with its K-isomorphic copy in $K_{\rm sep}$, and applying [9], Lemma 5.2, one obtains that $L_m K_v/K_v$ is a cyclic extension of degree q, $M_m K_v/K_v$ is abelian and totally ramified, $v(\pi) \in q\bar{v}(M_m K_v)$, and $[M_m K_v\colon K_v] = q^m$, for every index m. In view of the Artin-Schreier theorem, this implies that $f_m(X)$ is irreducible over K,

L_m/K is cyclic, $[L_m \colon K] = q$, M_m/K is abelian and $[M_m \colon K] = q^m$, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, our argument proves that every degree q extension of K in the compositum L of the fields L_m, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, is cyclic and totally ramified over K. In order to complete the proof of Lemma 3.3 (i), it remains to be seen that M_m/K are totally ramified relative to v, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose first that v is discrete and $\widehat{K}$ is perfect. Since M_mK_v/K_v, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then our assertion follows from basic properties of v on finite extensions of K in K_{sep}. The proof in general relies on the fact that if $\mathbb{F}$ is the prime subfield of K, and $\Phi = \mathbb{F}(\pi)$, then the valuation φ of Φ induced by v is discrete (cf. [4], Ch. II, Lemma 3.1). Note also that $L_m = \Phi_m K$ and $M_m = \Psi'_m K$, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, where Ψ_m is the root field of $f_m(X)$ over Φ, and $\Psi'_m = \Psi_1 \dots \Psi_m$. Observing now that $v(\pi) \notin qv(K)$ and the extensions Ψ'_m/K, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, are totally ramified, one completes the proof of Lemma 3.3 (i).

Our objective now is to prove Lemma 3.3 (ii). Put $\pi_1 = \pi$ and suppose that there exist elements $\pi_j \in K^*$, $j = 2, \dots, n$, and an integer $\mu \leq n$, such that the cosets $v(\pi_i) + qv(K)$, $i = 1, \dots, \mu$, are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_q$, and in case $\mu < n$, $v(\pi_{\mu+1}) = \dots = v(\pi_n) = 0$, and the residue classes $\hat{\pi}_u$, $u = \mu + 1, \dots, n$, generate an extension of $\widehat{K}^q$ of degree $q^{n-\mu}$. Fix a generator λ_m of $\mathcal{G}(L_m/K)$, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and denote by T_n the K-algebra $\otimes_{j=2}^{\nu}(L_{j-1}/K, \lambda_{j-1}, \pi_j)$, where $\otimes = \otimes_K$. It is easy to see that there is a K_v-isomorphism $T_n \otimes_K K_v \cong \otimes_{j=2}^{n}(L_{j-1}K_v/K_v, \lambda'_{j-1}, \pi_j)$, where $\otimes = \otimes_{K_v}$ and λ'_{j-1} is the unique K_v-automorphism of $L_{j-1}K_v$ extending λ_{j-1}, for each j. Since, by [9], Lemma 5.2, $T_n \otimes_K K_v \in d(K_v)$, this shows that $T_n \in d(K)$, $\exp(T_n) = q$ and $\mathrm{ind}(T_n) = q^{n-1}$, which proves Lemma 3.3 (ii). □

It is now easy to prove Theorem 1.2 (iii). Lemma 3.1 shows that $\mathrm{Brd}_p(F) = \infty$, if $\mathrm{char}(E) = p > 0$ and $[E \colon E^p] = \infty$, so we assume further that $\mathrm{char}(E) = p$ and $[E \colon E^p] = p^{\nu} < \infty$. The assumptions of Theorem 1.2 ensure the existence of a field $F_0 \in I(F/E)$ rational over E and with $\mathrm{trd}(F_0/E) = \kappa$. This implies that F/F_0 is a finite extension and $[F \colon F^q] = [F_0 \colon F_0^q] = [E \colon E^q]q^{\kappa} = q^{\nu+\kappa}$. Hence, by the theory of p-algebras (see [1], Ch. VII, Theorem 28), $\mathrm{Brd}_p(F) \leq \mathrm{abrd}_p(F) \leq p^{\nu+\kappa}$, as claimed. It remains to be seen that $p^{\nu+\kappa-1} \leq \mathrm{Brd}_p(F)$. It is known that the inversely-lexicographic ordering on the group F_0^* gives rise to a $\mathbb{Z}^{\kappa}$-valued valuation v_0 of F_0 (acting trivially on E) with a residue field E and of height κ. Note also that v_0 has a prolongation v on F, whence $v(F) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\kappa}$ and the residue field $\widehat{F}$ is a finite extension of E. Therefore, by Lemma 3.3, there exists $\Delta \in d(F)$ with $\exp(\Delta) = p$ and $\mathrm{ind}(\Delta) = p^{\nu+\kappa-1}$. This means that $\mathrm{Brd}_p(F) \geq p^{\nu+\kappa-1}$, so Theorem 1.2 (iii) is proved.

Remark 3.4. Let (K, v) be a maximally complete virtually perfect field with $\widehat{K}$ perfect, $\mathrm{char}(K) = q > 0$ and $[K \colon K^q] = q^n > 1$. Then it follows from Lemma 3.3 and [1], Ch. VII, Theorem 28, that $n - 1 \leq \mathrm{Brd}_q(K) \leq n$. Hence, by [2], Theorem 3.3, $\mathrm{Brd}_q(K) = n - 1$ if and only if $r_q(\widehat{K}) < n$.

To prove Theorem 1.2 (i) and the former assertion of Theorem 1.2 (ii) we need the following lemma which generalizes [1], Ch. XI, Theorem 3.

Lemma 3.5. *A field E satisfies the condition* $\mathrm{abrd}_p(E) \leq \mu$*, for some* $p \in \mathbb{P}$ *and* $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$*, if and only if* $\mathrm{ind}(\Delta) \leq p^{\mu}$ *whenever Δ lies in the union of the classes $d(E')$: $E' \in \mathrm{Fe}(E)$, and* $\exp(\Delta) = p$*.*

Proof. The left-to-right implication is obvious, so we prove only the converse one. Fix an arbitrary field $E' \in \mathrm{Fe}(E)$ and consider an algebra $\Delta' \in d(E')$ of exponent p^n, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We prove Lemma 3.5 by showing that $\mathrm{ind}(\Delta') \mid p^{n\mu}$. The assertion is obvious, if $n = 1$, so we assume further that $n \geq 2$. Take $\Delta \in d(E')$ so that $[\Delta] = p^{n-1}[\Delta']$, and let Y be a maximal subfield of Δ. The choice of Δ ensures that $\exp(\Delta) = p$, and it is well-known (see [30], Sect. 13.5) that $[Y \colon E'] = \mathrm{ind}(\Delta)$, $\Delta' \otimes_{E'} Y \in s(Y)$ and $\exp(\Delta' \otimes_{E'} Y) = p^{n-1}$. Thus the conditions of the lemma require that $[Y \colon E'] \mid p^{\mu}$. Note also that Y can be chosen so as to be separable over E' (cf. [30], Sect. 13.5). Proceeding by induction on n, one obtains from these observations that it suffices to prove the divisibility $\mathrm{ind}(\Delta') \mid p^{n\mu}$, under the extra hypothesis that $\mathrm{ind}(\Delta' \otimes_{E'} Y) \mid p^{(n-1)\mu}$. Fix $\Delta'_Y \in d(Y)$ so that $[\Delta'_Y] = [\Delta' \otimes_{E'} Y]$, and denote by Y' some maximal subfield of Δ'_Y. Then $[Y' \colon E'] = \mathrm{ind}(\Delta' \otimes_{E'} Y).[Y \colon E']$, which implies that $[Y' \colon E'] \mid p^{n\mu}$. Note also that $[\Delta'] \in \mathrm{Br}(Y'/E')$ (cf. [30], Sects. 9.4 and 13.1). The obtained results indicate that $\mathrm{ind}(\Delta') \mid [Y' \colon E'] \mid p^{n\mu}$, so Lemma 3.5 is proved. □

The following lemma is implied by (2.5) and Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 3.6. *Let K be a field, $F = K(X)$ a rational extension of K generated by X, $f(X) \in K[X]$ a separable and irreducible polynomial over K, L an extension of K in K_{sep} obtained by adjunction of a root of f, v a discrete valuation of F acting trivially on K with a uniform element f, and $(F_v, \bar{v})$ a Henselization of (F, v). Suppose that $\widetilde{D} \in d(L)$ is an algebra of exponent p. Then L is K-isomorphic to the residue field of $(F_v, \bar{v})$, and there are algebras $D' \in d(F_v)$ and $D \in d(F)$, such that* $\exp(D) = \exp(D') = p$*, $[D \otimes_F F_v] = [D']$, and D' is an inertial lift of $\widetilde{D}$ over F_v.*

Our objective now is to prove Theorem 1.2 (i), so we assume that $\mathrm{abrd}_p(E) = \lambda \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 3.5, then there exists $M \in \mathrm{Fe}(E)$, such that $d(M)$ contains an algebra $\widetilde{D}$ with $\exp(\widetilde{\Delta}) = p$ and $\mathrm{ind}(\widetilde{\Delta}) = p^{\lambda}$. We show that one can find $\Delta \in d(F)$ so that $\exp(D) = p$ and $\mathrm{ind}(\Delta) \geq p^{\lambda+\kappa-1}$. Suppose first that $\kappa = 1$ and $F = E(X)$, fix a primitive element α of M/E, and denote by $f(X)$ the minimal monic polynomial of α in $E[X]$ over E, where $F = E(X)$. Let v be a discrete valuation of F acting trivially on E with a uniform element $f(X)$, and let $(F_v, \bar{v})$ be a Henselization of (F, v). By Lemma 3.6, then there exists $\Delta_1 \in d(F)$, such that $[\Delta_1 \otimes_F F_v] = [\overline{\Delta}]$, in $\mathrm{Br}(F_v)$, where $\overline{\Delta}$ is an inertial lift of $\widetilde{\Delta}$ over F_v. Since $\overline{\Delta} \in d(F_v)$, $\exp(\overline{\Delta}) = p$ and $\mathrm{ind}(\overline{\Delta}) = p^{\lambda}$, this indicates that $p^{\lambda} \mid \mathrm{ind}(\Delta_1)$ and so proves Theorem 1.2 (i) in case $\kappa = 1$. In addition, Lemma 2.2 implies that if $\mathrm{ind}(\Delta_1) = p^{\lambda}$, then there exist infinitely many degree p cyclic extensions of F in F_v. This enables one to prove the existence of Δ, for an arbitrary κ, by the method of proving the main result of [30], Sect. 19.6. It remains for us to consider the case where $\kappa \geq 2$, $F = E(X_1, \dots, X_{\kappa})$ and there exists

$D_1 \in d(E(X_1))$ with $\exp(D_1) = p$ and $\mathrm{ind}(D_1) = p^{\lambda'} > p^{\lambda}$. It is easily verified that $D_1 \otimes_{E(X_1)} E(X_1)((X_2)) \in d(E(X_1)((X_2)))$, and it follows from Lemma 2.2 that there exist infinitely many degree p cyclic extensions of $E(X_1, X_2)$ in $E(X_1)((X_2))$. As in the case of $\kappa = 1$, this enables one to prove the existence of an algebra $\Delta' \in d(F)$, such that $\exp(\Delta') = p$ and $\mathrm{ind}(\Delta') = p^{\lambda'+\kappa-2} \geq p^{\lambda+\kappa-1}$. Thus Theorem 1.2 (i) is proved.

Let E be a field with $\mathrm{abrd}_p(E) = \infty$, for every $p \in \mathbb{P}$, and let F/E be a finitely-generated extension with $\mathrm{trd}(F/E) > 0$. Then it follows from (1.1) (i) and Theorem 1.2 (ii) that, for each pair (m, n) of integers satisfying the conditions of (1.2), there exists $D_{m,n} \in d(F)$ with $\mathrm{ind}(D_{m,n}) = m$ and $\exp(D_{m,n}) = n$. Therefore, our next result allows to view Theorem 1.2 (ii) as a generalization of the latter assertion of (1.2).

Corollary 3.7. *Let E be a field and F/E a rational extension with* $\mathrm{trd}(F/E) = \infty$. *Then* $\mathrm{Brd}_p(F) = \infty$*, for every* $p \in \mathbb{P}$.

Proof. This follows at once from Theorem 1.2 and the observation that $F \cong F'$ over E, whence $\mathrm{Brd}_p(F) = \mathrm{Brd}_p(F')$, for each $p \in \mathbb{P}$, whenever F'/F is a rational field extension with $\mathrm{trd}(F'/F) = 2$. □

It is known (see [12], [32] and [28]) that if F_1/F_0 is a rational field extension with $\mathrm{trd}(F_1/F_0) = 1$, then every finite abelian group is realizable as a Galois group over F_1. In view of the results of [30], Sect. 19.6, this enables one to give a direct proof of the latter assertion of (1.2).

Proposition 3.8. *Let F/E be a finitely-generated field extension with* $\mathrm{trd}(F/E) = t \geq 1$*, and suppose that* $\mathrm{abrd}_p(E) < \infty$ *when p runs across some nonempty subset $P \subseteq \mathbb{P}$. Then there exists a finite subset $P(F/E)$ of P, such that* $\mathrm{Brd}_p(F) \geq \mathrm{abrd}_p(E) \geq t - 1$*, for each $p \in P \setminus P(F/E)$.*

Proof. Clearly, one can take as $P(F/E)$ the set of prime divisors of $[F\colon F_0]$, for some rational extension F_0 of E in F with $\mathrm{trd}(F_0/E) = t$.

At the end of this Section, we give an example of a field extension F/E satisfying the conditions of Proposition 3.8, for $P = \mathbb{P}$, such that the set $P(F/E)$ is necessarily nonempty.

Example 3.9. Let E be a real closed field and F the function field of the Brauer-Severi variety corresponding to the symbol E-algebra $A = A_{-1}(-1, -1; E)$, and put $F' = F \otimes_E E(\sqrt{-1})$. By the Artin-Schreier theory (cf. [21], Ch. XI, Theorem 2), then $E(\sqrt{-1}) = E_{\mathrm{sep}}$, whence $\mathrm{abrd}_p(E) = 0$, for all $p \in \mathbb{P} \setminus \{2\}$, and since $-1 \notin N(E(\sqrt{-1}/E)$, it also follows that $A \in d(E)$. Note further that $\mathrm{trd}(F/E) = 1$, $[A \otimes_E F] = 0$ in $\mathrm{Br}(F)$, and $F'/E(\sqrt{-1})$ is a rational extension (see [34], Theorem 13.8 and Corollaries 13.9 and 13.16). In view of Tsen's theorem (cf. [30], Sect. 19.4), the noted property of F' ensures that it is a C_1-field, so it follows from [36], Ch. II, Proposition 6, that $\mathrm{cd}(\mathcal{G}_{F'}) \leq 1$. As $A \otimes_E F \cong A_1(-1, -1; F)$ over F, the equality $[A \otimes_E F] = 0$ implies that F is a nonreal field, so it follows from the Artin-Schreier theory that $\mathcal{G}_F$ is a torsion-free group. Observing finally that

$\mathcal{G}_{F'}$ embeds in $\mathcal{G}_F$ as an open subgroup, one obtains from Galois cohomology [35] that $\mathrm{cd}(\mathcal{G}_F) \leq 1$, which means that $\mathrm{abrd}(F) = 0 < \mathrm{abrd}_2(E) = 1$.

4. **Proof of Theorem 1.2 (ii)**

The former assertion of Theorem 1.2 (ii) is implied by the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let K be a field with $\mathrm{abrd}_p(K) = \infty$, for some $p \in \mathbb{P}$, and let F/K be a finitely-generated extension with $\mathrm{trd}(F/K) \geq 1$. Then $d(F)$ contains algebras D_ν, $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\exp(D_\nu) = p$ and $\mathrm{ind}(D_\nu) \geq p^\nu$.*

Proof. Note that one may consider only the special case of $F = K(X)$, where X is a transcendental element over K. Indeed, it is well-known (cf. [21], Ch. X) that there exists a rational extension F_0 of K in F, such that $\mathrm{trd}(F_0/K) = \mathrm{trd}(F/K)$ and $[F\colon F_0] \in \mathbb{N}$. In view of (1.1) (ii), the class of fields Φ with $\mathrm{abrd}_p(\Phi) = \infty$ is closed under the formation of finite extensions, so suffices to prove the assertions of Lemma 4.1, under the extra hypothesis that $F = F_0$. Arguing by induction on $\mathrm{trd}(F/K)$, and observing that $\mathrm{ind}(T_0 \otimes_K F) = \mathrm{ind}(T_0)$ and $\exp(T_0 \otimes_K F) = \exp(T_0)$, for each $T_0 \in d(K)$, one obtains the desired reduction. In the rest of the proof, we assume that $F = K(X)$ and X is transcendental over K. Then it follows from Lemma 3.5 and the equality $\mathrm{abrd}_p(K) = \infty$ that there is a sequence $M_\nu \in \mathrm{Fe}(K)$, $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $d(M_\nu)$ contains an algebra $\widetilde{D}_\nu$ with $\exp(\widetilde{D}_\nu) = p$ and $\mathrm{ind}(\widetilde{D}_\nu) \geq p^\nu$, for each index ν. Hence, by Lemma 3.6, for each $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $D_\nu \in d(F)$ and a discrete valuation v_ν of F acting trivially on K, such that the residue field of (F, v_ν) is K-isomorphic to M_ν, $\exp(D_\nu) = p$, and $[D_\nu \otimes_F F_v] = [D'_\nu]$, where D'_ν is an inertial lift of $\widetilde{D}_\nu$ over F_ν. In particular, this implies $\mathrm{ind}(\widetilde{D}_\nu) \mid \mathrm{ind}(D_\nu)$, $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, and so proves Lemma 4.1. □

To prove the latter part of Theorem 1.2 (ii) we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let A, B and C be algebras over a field F, such that $A, B, C \in s(F)$, $A = B \otimes_F C$, $\exp(C) = p \in \mathbb{P}$, and $\exp(B) = \mathrm{ind}(B) = p^m$, for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that $\mathrm{ind}(A) = p^n > p^m$ and κ is an integer with $m < \kappa \leq n$. Then there exists $T_\kappa \in s(F)$ with $\exp(T_\kappa) = p^m$ and $\mathrm{ind}(T_\kappa) = p^\kappa$.*

Proof. When $\kappa = n$, there is nothing to prove, so we assume that $\kappa < n$. By [26], Sect. 4, Theorem 2, C is Brauer equivalent to $\Delta_1 \otimes_F \cdots \otimes_F \Delta_\nu$, where $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ and for each index j, $\Delta_j \in d(F)$ and $\mathrm{ind}(\Delta_j) = p$. Put $T_j = B \otimes_F (\Delta_1 \otimes_F \cdots \otimes_F \Delta_j)$ and $t_j = \deg(T_j)/\mathrm{ind}(T_j)$, $j = 1, \dots, \nu$, and denote by $S(A)$ the set of those j, for which $\mathrm{ind}(T_j) \geq p^\kappa$. Clearly, $S(A) \neq \phi$ and the set $S_0(A) = \{i \in S(A)\colon\ t_i \leq t_j, j \in S(A)\}$ contains a minimal index γ. We show that $\mathrm{ind}(T_\gamma) = p^\kappa$. The conditions of Lemma 4.2 ensure that $\exp(T_j) = p^m$, which implies $\mathrm{ind}(T_j)$ is a p-primary number, for each $j \in S(A)$. If $\gamma = 1$, (1.1) (ii) and the inequality $m < \kappa$ imply that $\kappa = m + 1$ and $\mathrm{ind}(T_1) = p^\kappa$. Suppose now that $\gamma \geq 2$. Then it follows that $\mathrm{ind}(T_\gamma) = \mathrm{ind}(T_{\gamma-1}).p^\mu$, for some $\mu \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. The possibility that $\mu \neq 1$ is ruled out, since it contradicts the fact that $\gamma \in S_0(A)$. This yields $\mathrm{ind}(T_\gamma) = \mathrm{ind}(T_{\gamma-1}).p$ and $t_\gamma = t_{\gamma-1}$. As γ is a minimal index in $S_0(A)$ and $\mathrm{ind}(T_{\gamma-1})$ is a power of p, it is now easy to see that $\mathrm{ind}(T_{\gamma-1}) = p^{\kappa-1}$ and $\mathrm{ind}(T_\gamma) = p^\kappa$, which completes the proof of Lemma 4.2. □

The fulfillment of the conditions of Lemma 4.2 is guaranteed, for each pair of integers m, n with $1 \leq m < n$, if $\mathrm{char}(E) = p$, E is *not* virtually perfect and F/E satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.2. This can be deduced from Lemma 3.1, general properties of cyclic F-algebras, see [30], Sect. 15.1, Corollary b and Proposition b, and Witt's lemma (cf. [10], Sect. 15) concerning the embeddability of any cyclic p-extension of F as an intermediate field of a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of F. This observation completes the proof of Theorem 1.2 in case $p = \mathrm{char}(E)$. In order to prove the latter assertion of Theorem 1.2 (ii), for $p \neq \mathrm{char}(E)$, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *Let K be a field and F a finitely-generated extension of K with* $\mathrm{trd}(F/K) = 1$. *Then, for each $p \in \mathbb{P}$, there exists a set v_m, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, of nonequivalent discrete valuations of F satisfying the following:*

(i) *v_m acts trivially on K, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$;*

(ii) *There is a sequence $(F_m, w_m)/(F, v_m)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, of valued field extensions, such that $F_m \in I(F_{\mathrm{sep}}/F)$, F_m/F is cyclic, $[F_m\colon F] = p^m$ and (F_m, w_m) is totally ramified over (F, v_m), for each index m; in addition, $F_{m'} \cap F_{m''} = F$, $m' \neq m''$.*

Proof. Let X be an element of F transcendental over K. Then $F/K(X)$ is a finite field extension, which is unramified relative to every discrete valuation of $K(X)$ trivial on K, with at most finitely many exceptions (up-to an equivalence, see [4], Ch. I, Sect. 5). Since the set of separable extensions of $K(X)$ in F is finite, this observation allows us to prove Lemma 4.3 only in the special case where $F = K(X)$. Suppose first that $p \neq \mathrm{char}(K)$ and, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\varepsilon_m \in K_{\mathrm{sep}}$ be a primitive p^m-th root of unity, $f_m(X) \in K[X]$ the minimal polynomial of ε_m over K, $K_m = K(\varepsilon_m)$, and v_m a discrete valuation of F trivial on K and with a uniform element $f_m(X)$. It is well-known (cf. [21], Ch. VIII, Sect. 3) that K_m/K is an abelian extension, and it follows from the definition of v_m that the residue field $\widehat{F}_m$ of (F, v_m) is K-isomorphic to K_m, for each index m. Fix a system $s_{\varphi_m}, l_{\varphi_m}\colon\ \varphi_m \in \mathcal{G}(K_m/K)$, so that $\varphi_m(\varepsilon_m) = \varepsilon_m^{s_{\varphi_m}}$ and $s_{\varphi_m} l_{\varphi_m}$ is congruent to 1 modulo p^m, for each $\varphi_m \in \mathcal{G}(K_m/K)$. It is easily verified that $K_m(X) = F(\varepsilon_m)$ is a Galois extension of F with $\mathcal{G}(K_m/K) \cong \mathcal{G}(F(\varepsilon_m)/F)$. More precisely, each $\phi_m \in \mathcal{G}(K_m/K)$ is uniquely extendable to an element $\tilde{\varphi}_m \in \mathcal{G}(F(\varepsilon_m)/F)$, and the mapping of $\mathcal{G}(K_m/K)$ into $\mathcal{G}(F(\varepsilon_m)/F)$, by the rule $\varphi_m \tilde{\varphi}_m$, is an isomorphism. Put $g_m(X) = \prod_{\varphi_m \in \mathcal{G}(K_m/K)} (X - \varepsilon_m^{s_{\varphi_m}})^{l_{\varphi_m}}$ and $F'_m = K_m(X, \rho_m)$, where ρ_m is a p^m-th root in F_{sep} of $g_m(X)$. We show that F'_m contains as a subfield an extension F_m of F with the properties required by Lemma 4.3, and such that F'_m equals the compositum $K_m F_m$, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. To begin with, one obtains by straightforward calculations from the definition of $g_m(X)$ that $\tilde{\psi}(g_m(X)).g_m(X)^{-s_{\psi_m}} \in F(\varepsilon_m)^{*p^m}$, for each

$\psi_m \in \mathcal{G}(K_m/K)$, which implies F'_m/F is a Galois extension. Observing that the polynomial $T^{p^m} - g_m(X) \in K_m[X][T]$ is Eisensteinian with respect to the element $X - \varepsilon_m \in K_m[X]$, one also concludes that $[F'_m\colon F(\varepsilon_m)] = p^m$ and $F'_m/F(\varepsilon_m)$ is totally ramified relative to the discrete valuation ω_m acting trivially upon K_m and with a uniform element $X - \varepsilon_m$. This, combined with the fact that $(F(\varepsilon_m), \omega_m)/(F, v_m)$ is a splitting valued extension, implies that $(F'_m, \omega'_m)/(F, v_m)$ is a valued extension of ramification index p^m, where ω'_m is the unique, up-to an equivalence, valuation of F'_m extending ω_m. Returning to the action of $\mathcal{G}(F(\varepsilon_m)/F)$ on $g_m(X)$, one also proves that $\mathcal{G}(F'_m/F)$ is abelian and $\mathcal{G}(F'_m/F(\varepsilon_m))$ is cyclic of order p^m. Moreover, it turns out that p^{m+1} does not divide the exponent of $\mathcal{G}(F'_m/F)$. The obtained results indicate that $\mathcal{G}(F'_m/F)$ has a direct summand, say H_m in $\mathcal{G}(F'_m/F)$. Hence, by Galois theory, $F(\varepsilon_m)F_m = F'_m$ and $F(\varepsilon_m) \cap F_m = F$, where F_m is the fixed field of H_m. In particular, this implies $[F'_m\colon F(\varepsilon_m)] = [F_m\colon F]$. Since $\mathcal{G}(F'_m/F)$ is abelian, one also sees that H_m is normal in $\mathcal{G}(F'_m/F)$ and F_m/F is Galois with $\mathcal{G}(F_m/F) \cong \mathcal{G}(F'_m/F(\varepsilon_m))$. Thus it follows that F_m/F is cyclic and $[F_m\colon F] = p^m$. Let now v'_m be the valuation of F_m induced by ω'_m. As the valued extension $(F(\varepsilon_m), \omega_m)/(F, v_m)$ splits, the noted properties of F_m ensure the same for $(F'_m, \omega')/(F_m, v'_m)$. In view of the multiplicativity of ramification indices in towers of finite valued extensions (cf. [14], Proposition 14.2.4), it is now easy to see from the observation on $(F'_m, \omega')/(F, v_m)$ that $(F_m, v'_m)/(F, v_m)$ is totally ramified, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.3. □

We are now in a position to prove the latter assertion of Theorem 1.2 (ii). Let $\mathrm{abrd}_p(K) = \infty$ and (m, n) be a pair of integers with $1 \le m \le n$. In view of Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, it is sufficient to show that there exists $A_m \in d(F)$ satisfying $\exp(A_m) = \mathrm{ind}(A_m) = p^m$. As in the proof of Lemma 4.1, our considerations reduce to the special case of $\mathrm{trd}(F/K) = 1$. Analyzing this proof, one obtains that there is $M \in \mathrm{Fe}(E)$, such that $d(M)$ contains a cyclic M-algebra A_1 of degree p, and in case $p \neq \mathrm{char}(E)$, M contains a primitive p^m-th root of unity. Observe also that M can be chosen so as to be E-isomorphic to the residue field $\widehat{F}$ of F relative to some discrete valuation v of F acting trivially on E. Hence, by Kummer theory and Witt's lemma (see [21], Ch. VIII, Sect. 6, and [10], Sect. 15, Lemma 2), each degree p cyclic extension Y_1 of M lies in $I(Y_m/M)$, for some degree p^m cyclic extension Y_m/M. Suppose now that Y_1 embeds in $\widetilde{A}_1$ as an M-subalgebra, fix a generator τ_1 of $\mathcal{G}(Y_1/M)$, and let τ_m be an automorphism of Y_m extending τ_1. Then $\widetilde{A}_1$ is isomorphic to the cyclic M-algebra $(Y_1/M, \tau_1, \tilde{\beta})$, for some $\tilde{\beta} \in M^*$. Note also that τ_m is a generator of $\mathcal{G}(Y_m/M)$ and the cyclic algebra $\widetilde{A}_m = (Y_m/M, \tau_m, \tilde{\beta})$ satisfies the condition $p^{m-1}[\widetilde{A}_m] = [\widetilde{A}_1]$ (in $\mathrm{Br}(M)$) (cf. [30], Sect. 15.1, Corollary b). It is therefore clear that $\widetilde{A}_m \in d(M)$ and $\mathrm{ind}(\widetilde{A}_m) = \exp(\widetilde{A}_m) = p^m$. Suppose now that (F, v) has a valued extension (L, v_L), such that L/F is cyclic, $[L\colon F] = p^m$ and the residue field of (L, v_L) is M-isomorphic to Y_m. Then $\mathcal{G}(L/F) \cong \mathcal{G}(Y_m/M)$, and for each generator σ of $\mathcal{G}(L/F)$ and pre-image β of $\tilde{\beta}$ in $O_v(F)$, the algebra $A_m = (L/F, \sigma, \beta)$ lies in $d(F)$ and is inertial over F (see [30], Sect. 15.1, Proposition b, and [18], Theorem 5.6). Note further that $\mathrm{ind}(A_m) = \exp(A_m) = p^m$ and σ can be chosen so that $\widehat{A}_m$ be M-isomorphic to $\widetilde{A}_m$. In view of Lemma 2.2, the obtained result completes the proof of Theorem 1.2 in case $\mathrm{abrd}_p(E) = \infty$ and $p > 2$. Similarly, it follows that if $p = 2$ and $\mathrm{abrd}_2 = \infty$, then it suffices to prove Theorem 1.2, under the extra hypothesis that $\mathrm{char}(E) = 0$ and $\mathcal{G}(E(\varepsilon_m)/E)$ is noncyclic, where ε_m is a primitive 2^m-th root of unity in E_{sep}. Now take a valuation v_m of F and a valued extension $(F_m, w_m)/(F, v_m)$ with the properties required by Lemma 4.3. It follows from the extra hypothesis on $\mathcal{G}(E(\varepsilon_m)/E)$ that $m \geq 2$ and $\widehat{F}_m^{*2} \neq \widehat{F}_m^{*4}$, where $\widehat{F}_m$ is the residue field of (F, v_m). Fix a generator ψ_m of $\mathcal{G}(F_m/F)$, an element $\tilde{\beta}_m \in \widehat{F}_m^{*}$ so that $\tilde{\beta}_m^2 \in \widehat{F}_m^{*2} \setminus \widehat{F}_m^{*4}$, and a pre-image β_m of $\tilde{\beta}_m$ in $O_{v_m}(F)$. As w_m is the unique, up-to an equivalence, valuation of F_m extending v_m, we have $w_m(\lambda_m) = w_m(\psi_m(\lambda_m))$, for each $\lambda_m \in F_m$. When $w_m(\lambda_m) = 0$, this implies $\widehat{F}_m^{*2^m}$ contains the residue class of the norm $N_F^{F_m}(\lambda_m)$ (here we use the fact that $(F_m, w_m)/(F, v_m)$ is totally ramified). Similarly, it turns out that $\tilde{\beta}_m^{2^{m-1}} \notin \widehat{F}_m^{*2^m}$, whence $\beta_m^{2^{m-1}} \notin N(F_m/F)$. Applying now [30], Sect. 15.1, Proposition b, one concludes that $\mathrm{ind}(A_m) = \exp(A_m) = p^m$, where $A_m = (F_m/F, \psi_m, \beta_m)$. Since $\deg(A_m) = p^m$, this yields $A_m \in d(F)$ and so completes the proof of Theorem 1.2 (ii).

Corollary 4.4. *Let E be a field with $\mathrm{abrd}(E) = \infty$. Then $\mathrm{Brd}(F) = \infty$ whenever F/E is a finitely-generated extension and $\mathrm{trd}(F/E) > 0$.*

Proof. The assumption on $\mathrm{abrd}(E)$ means that either $\mathrm{abrd}_{p'}(E) = \infty$, for some $p' \in \mathbb{P}$, or $\mathrm{abrd}_p(E)$, $p \in \mathbb{P}$, is an unbounded number sequence. In view of Theorem 1.2 (ii) and Proposition 3.8, this proves our assertion. □

Statement (1.6) and Theorem 1.3 show that both cases pointed out in the proof of Corollary 4.4 can be realized.

Corollary 4.5. *Let F be a rational extension of an algebraically closed field F_0. Then $\mathrm{trd}(F/F_0) = \infty$ if and only if each pair (m, n) of integers satisfying (1.2) is equal to $(\exp(D_{m,n}), \mathrm{ind}(D_{m,n}))$, for some $D_{m,n} \in d(F)$.*

Proof. The left-to-right implication follows from (1.1) (i), Corollary 3.7 and Theorem 1.2 (ii), so we assume that $\mathrm{trd}(F/F_0) = \kappa \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lang-Tsen's theorem [20], then finite extensions of F are C_κ-fields, so Lemma 3.5 and [27], (16.10), imply $\mathrm{Brd}_2(F) \leq \mathrm{abrd}_2(F) < 2^{\kappa-1}$, which completes our proof. □

Analyzing the proof of Theorems 1.2 (i) and (ii), one proves the following:

Corollary 4.6. *Assume that E is a field and M is a finite extension of E in E_{sep} satisfying the following conditions, for some $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$:*

(c) *For each $M' \in I(E_{\mathrm{sep}}/M)$ with $[M' \colon M] < \infty$, there is $D' \in d(M')$ with $\exp(D') = p$ and $\mathrm{ind}(M') = p^k$, and an infinite Galois extension L'/M', such that $D' \otimes_{M'} L' \in d(L')$ and $\mathcal{G}(L'/M')$ is abelian of exponent p.*

Then $\mathrm{Brd}_p(F) \geq k + \mathrm{trd}(F/E)$, for every finitely-generated extension F/E.

Remark 4.7. Condition (c) of Corollary 4.6 holds, for $k = 1 = \mathrm{abrd}(E)$, when E is a global field (for any $p \in \mathbb{P}$) or a finitely-generated extension of a perfect PAC-field E_0 with $\mathrm{trd}(E/E_0) = 1$ and $\mathrm{cd}_p(E_0) = 1$ (see Lemma 2.2 and [13], Sect. 3). In addition, it can be easily deduced from (2.1) and [29], Theorem 1, that the class of fields satisfying (c) is closed under taking iterated formal Laurent power series fields (cf. [23], Appendix A, or [9], Proposition 4.7). Note also that condition (c) is not necessary for the validity of the conclusion of Corollary 4.6. As shown by Jacob and Tignol (in an appendix to [34]), to demonstrate this one can take as E any finite extension of the field $\mathbb{Q}_\pi$ of π-adic numbers, for some $\pi \in \mathbb{P} \setminus \{p\}$, and let $k = 1$ and F be the function field of any algebraic curve defined over E.

Let us note that Remark 4.7 implies $\mathrm{Brd}_p(\Phi) \geq n$, for every $p \in \mathbb{P}$, whenever Φ is a field of nonzero characteristic, which is a finitely-generated extension of its prime subfield Φ_0 with $\mathrm{trd}(\Phi/\Phi_0) = n$. This is also a special case of the following result, which will be proved elsewhere.

Proposition 4.8. *In the setting of Theorem 1.2, suppose that* $\mathrm{cd}_p(\mathcal{G}_E) \neq 0$. *Then* $\mathrm{Brd}_p(F) \geq \kappa$ *except, possibly, in the case where* $p = 2$, *the Sylow pro-2-subgroups of* $\mathcal{G}_E$ *are of order* 2, *and* F *is a nonreal field.*

It is not known whether a finitely-generated field extension F/E with $\mathrm{trd}(F/E) = \kappa \geq 3$ satisfies the equalities $\mathrm{abrd}_p(F) = \mathrm{Brd}_p(F) = \mathrm{trd}(F) - 1$, for some $p \in \mathbb{P}$, provided that $\mathrm{cd}_p(\mathcal{G}_E) = 0$, and E is perfect in case $p = \mathrm{char}(E)$. The stated question is open also in the case excluded by Proposition 4.8. In view of the proof of the right-to-left implication of Corollary 4.5, it would be of interest to know whether $\mathrm{Brd}_p(F) < p^{\kappa-1}$. If $E = E_{\mathrm{sep}}$ and the stated inequality holds, for each $p \in \mathbb{P}$, this would yield $\mathrm{abrd}_p(F) < \infty$, $p \in \mathbb{P}$, which would considerably extend the scope of Proposition 1.1. It is also worth noting that if the inequalities $\mathrm{Brd}_p(F) \leq p^{\kappa-1}$, $p \in \mathbb{P}$, hold and determine sufficiently exact upper bounds for $\mathrm{Brd}_p(F)$, then they would guarantee that $\mathrm{Brd}(\Phi) = \infty$ whenever Φ/Φ_0 is a field extension with $\mathrm{trd}(\Phi/\Phi_0) \geq 3$, which would give a negative answer to [3], Problem 4.5.

5. **Proof of Theorem 1.3**

The proof of Theorem 1.3 relies on the following lemma which in turn is implied by [9], Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 5.1. *Assume that* (K, v) *is a Henselian field with* $\mathrm{char}(\widehat{K}) = q \geq 0$ *and* $\mathrm{Br}(\widehat{K})_p = \{0\}$, *for some* $p \in \mathbb{P}$, $p \neq q$, *and let* $\tau(p)$ *be the dimension of* $v(K)/pv(K)$ *over* $\mathbb{F}_p$, ε_p *a primitive* p*-th root of unity in* $\widehat{K}_{\mathrm{sep}}$, $r_p(\widehat{K})$ *the rank of* $\mathcal{G}(\widehat{K}(p)/\widehat{K})$ *as a pro-*p*-group, and* $m_p = \min\{\tau(p), r_p(\widehat{K})\}$. *Then:*

(i) $\mathrm{Brd}_p(K) = \infty$ *if and only if* $m_p = \infty$ *or* $\tau(p) = \infty$ *and* $\varepsilon_p \in \widehat{K}$;

(ii) *When* $\mathrm{Brd}_p(K) < \infty$, *it satisfies the equality* $\mathrm{Brd}_p(K) = u_p$, *where* $u_p = [(\tau(p) + m_p)/2]$, *if* $\varepsilon_p \in \widehat{K}$; $u_p = m_p$, *otherwise.*

The main step towards the proof of Theorem 1.3 can be stated as follows:

Lemma 5.2. *Let K, v and p satisfy the conditions of Lemma 5.1, and suppose that* $\mathrm{cd}_p(\mathcal{G}_{\widehat{K}}) \leq 1$, *$G_p$ is a Sylow pro-p-subgroup of $\mathcal{G}_K$, and t_p is the rank of G_p as a pro-p-groups. Then:*

(i) $\mathrm{abrd}_p(K) = \infty$ *if and only if* $\tau(p) = \infty$*;*

(ii) $\mathrm{abrd}_p(K) = \tau(p)$*, provided that* $t_p \geq 2$ *and* $\tau(p) < \infty$*;*

(iii) *If* $t_p \leq 1$ *and* $\tau(p) < \infty$*, then* $\mathrm{abrd}_p(K) = [(\tau(p) + t_p)/2)]$.

Proof. Let K_p be the fixed field of G_p. Then $p \dagger [R\colon K]$, and by (2.2), $v(K)/pv(K) \cong v(R)/pv(R)$, for each finite extension R of K in K_p. At the same time, it is easy to see that $\mathrm{Br}(R/K) \cap \mathrm{Br}(K)_p = \{0\}$, and we have $\mathrm{ind}(D_p \otimes_K R) = \mathrm{ind}(D_p)$ and $\exp(D_p \otimes_K R) = \exp(D_p)$ whenever $D_p \in d(K)$ and $[D_p] \in \mathrm{Br}(K)_p$. These observations prove that $\mathrm{abrd}_p(K) = \mathrm{abrd}_p(R)$, for every $R \in I(K_p/K)$. Taking now into account that K_p contains a primitive p-th root of unity, say ε_p, one deduces from Lemma 5.1 (i) that $\mathrm{Brd}_p(K(\varepsilon_p)) = \mathrm{abrd}_p(K(\varepsilon_p)) = \infty$ in case $\tau(p) = \infty$, which completes the proof of Lemma 5.2 (i). In the rest of our proof, we assume that $\tau(p) < \infty$. In view of (2.2) and Lemma 5.1, this implies that $\mathrm{abrd}_p(K) \leq \tau(p)$. Denote by k_p the residue field of (K_p, v_{K_p}), and by U_p the compositum of the inertial extensions of K_p in K_{sep} (relative to v_{K_p}). It follows from (2.3) and the Henselian property of v that U_p/K_p is a Galois extension with $\mathcal{G}(U_p/K_p)$ isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}_{k_p}$ and to the Sylow pro-p-subgroups of $\mathcal{G}_{\widehat{K}}$. Thus the proof of Lemma 5.2 (ii) and (iii) reduces to the special case of $K_p = K$, i.e. $\mathcal{G}_K = G_p$. As $\mathrm{cd}_p(\mathcal{G}_{\widehat{K}})) \leq 1$, then $\mathcal{G}_{\widehat{K}}$ and $\mathcal{G}(U_p/K)$ are free pro-p-groups (of rank t_p). Suppose first that $t_p \geq 2$. Then it follows from Galois theory and the analogue to Nielsen-Schreier's theorem for open subgroups of pro-p-groups (cf. [36], Ch. I, 3.4) that there exists a sequence T_m, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, of finite extensions of K in K_{sep}, such that $r_p(T_m) \geq m$, for each index m. Hence, by Lemma 5.1 (ii) and the isomorphism $v(T_m)/pv(T_m) \cong v(K)/pv(K)$, $\mathrm{Brd}_p(T_m) = \tau(p)$, for every $m > \tau(p)$, which completes the proof of Lemma 5.2 (i).

Suppose now that $\tau(p) = 0$. Then it follows from (2.1), the inequality $p \neq \mathrm{char}(\widehat{K})$ and the Henselity of v_{K_p} that $K_{\mathrm{sep}} = U_p$. Hence, $\mathrm{cd}(\mathcal{G}_K) \leq 1$, which means that $\mathrm{Br}(T) = \{0\}$, for every $T \in \mathrm{Fe}(K_p)$ (see [36], Ch. II, 3.1). Thus the equality $\mathrm{abrd}_p(K_p) = \mathrm{abrd}_p(K) = 0$, required by Lemma 5.2 (iii), becomes obvious. It remains for us to prove Lemma 5.2 (ii). In this case, $t_p \leq 1 \leq \tau(p)$, and for each $T \in \mathrm{Fe}(K_p)$, $v(T)/pv(T) \cong v(K_p)/pv(K_p)$ and $r_p(\widehat{T}) = t_p$. Therefore, Lemma 5.1 (ii) yields $\mathrm{Brd}_p(T) = [(\tau(p) + t_p)/2)]$, $T \in \mathrm{Fe}(K_p)$, which proves Lemma 5.2 (ii). □

Our next result, applied to the field $K_0 = \mathbb{F}_q$, implies the assertion of Theorem 1.3 in the case of $q > 0$.

Proposition 5.3. *Let K_0 be a finite field with q^m elements, where* $q = \mathrm{char}(K_0)$. *Put* $P_{q,m} = \{p \in \mathbb{P}\colon\ p \mid q(q^m - 1)\}$ *and let* $c_p\colon\ p \in \mathbb{P}$*, be a sequence of elements of* $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$*. Then:*

(i) *There exists a Henselian field* (E, ω) *with* $\mathrm{char}(E) = q$, $\widehat{E} = K_0$ *and* $\mathrm{abrd}_p(E) = c_p$*, for each* $p \in \mathbb{P}$*; this ensures that* $\mathrm{Brd}_p(E) \leq 1$*, for all* $p \in \mathbb{P} \setminus P_{q,m}$, $\mathrm{Brd}_p(E) = c_p$, $p \in \mathbb{P}_{q,m}$*, and* $\mathrm{Brd}_p(E) \neq 0$ *in case* $c_p \neq 0$*;*

(ii) *When* $c_q \neq \infty$, (E, ω) *can be chosen so that* $[E\colon E^q] = q^{1+c_q}$ *and finite extensions of* E *in* $E_{\rm sep}$ *be defectless;*

(iii) *If* $c_q = 0$, *then* (E, ω) *can be chosen so that* $[E\colon E^q] = \infty$.

Proof. Let $n(p)\colon\ p \in \mathbb{P}$, be a sequence of elements of $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$, such that $n(p) = \infty$, provided that $c(p) = \infty$, and $2c_p - 1 \leq n(p) \leq 2c_p$ in case $c(p) < \infty$. Suppose further that (K, v) is a Henselian field with $\mathrm{char}(K) = q$, $\widehat{K} = K_0$ and $v(K)/pv(K)$ having dimension $n(p)$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$, for each $p \in \mathbb{P}$. It follows from Remark 3.4 and Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2 that if $n(q) = c_q + 1$, $[K\colon K^q] = q^{n(q)}$ and (K, v) is defined as in the proof of Lemma 2.3, then the valued field $(E, \omega) = (K, v)$ has the properties required by Proposition 5.3 (i) and (ii). It remains to be seen that if $c_q = 0$, then (E, ω) can be chosen with the additional property that $[E\colon E^q] = \infty$. Take a field $E \in I(K_{\rm sep}/K)$ so that $EK_{\rm tr} = K_{\rm sep}$ and $E \cap K_{\rm tr} = K$, and put $\omega = v_E$. Then, by (2.4) (ii), $\omega(E)/p\omega(E) \cong v(K)/pv(K)$, $p \in \mathbb{P}\backslash\{q\}$, $\widehat{E} = \mathbb{F}_q$ and $\mathcal{G}_E \cong \mathcal{G}(K_{\rm tr}/K)$, which implies the Sylow pro-q-subgroups of $\mathcal{G}_E$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_q$. Note also that $E_{\rm tr} = K_{\rm sep}$ and $\omega(E) = q\omega(E)$. Applying [37], Theorem 3.1, one obtains further that if there exists $E' \in \mathrm{Fe}(E)$ with $d(E')$ containing an algebra D' of index q, then D'/E' must be inertial. Since, however, $\widehat{E} = \mathbb{F}_q$, whence $\mathrm{Br}(\widehat{E'}) = \{0\}$, for every finite extension $\widehat{E'}/\widehat{E}$, it follows from (2.5), this observation and [26], Sect. 4, Theorem 2, that $\mathrm{Br}(E_1)_q = \{0\}$, $E_1 \in \mathrm{Fe}(E)$. As $n(q) = \infty$ and $K \subseteq E \subseteq K_{\rm sep}$, it is clear that $[K\colon K^q] = [E\colon E^q] = \infty$, which completes our proof in case $c_q = 0$. □

Our next lemma proves Theorem 1.3 in the case of $q = 0$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let* $(b_p, a_p)\colon\ p \in \mathbb{P}$, *be a sequence of elements of* $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$ *satisfying the conditions* $b_2 = a_2$ *and* $b_p \leq a_p$, $p > 2$. *Then there exists a Henselian field* (K, v), *such that* $\mathrm{char}(\widehat{K}) = 0$, $\mathcal{G}_{\widehat{K}}$ *is pronilpotent with* $\mathrm{cd}(\mathcal{G}_{\widehat{K}}) \leq 1$, *and* $(\mathrm{Brd}_p(K), \mathrm{abrd}_p(K)) = (b_p, a_p)$, *for each* $p \in \mathbb{P}$.

Proof. The conclusions of Lemma 5.4 (i) and (ii) follow from Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2. The proof of Lemma 5.4 (iii) relies on the fact that the class Ω of finitely-generated profinite groups is closed under the formation of open subgroups, and so is the class of d-generated profinite groups, for a given $d \in \mathbb{N}$, under taking homomorphic images. In view of Galois theory, this means that if $\mathcal{G}_{\widehat{K}} \in \Omega$, then $\mathcal{G}_{\widehat{L}} \in \Omega$, for each $L \in \mathrm{Fe}(\widehat{K})$, and $r_p(\widehat{L}) \leq g(\widehat{L})$, $p \in \mathbb{P}$, where $g(\widehat{L})$ is the minimal number of generators of $\mathcal{G}_{\widehat{L}}$. Therefore, Lemma 5.4 (iii) can be deduced from Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.4 (ii). □

Remark 5.5. Proposition 5.3 and Lemma 5.4 imply that, for each $q \in \mathbb{P} \cup \{0\}$ and $(k, k') \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ with $k \leq k'$, there exists a field $E_{q,k,k'}$ with $\mathrm{char}(E_{q,k,k'}) = q$, $\mathrm{Brd}(E_{q,k,k'}) = k$ and $\mathrm{abrd}(E_{q,k,k'}) = k'$. In view of (1.5), this ensures that if [3], Problem 4.5, is solved affirmatively whenever E is a virtually perfect field with $\mathrm{abrd}(E) < \infty$, then there is a sequence of fields $E_{m,n}$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\mathrm{Brd}(E_{m,n}) = m$ and $m + n \leq \dim(E_{m,n}) < \infty$, for each pair of indices m, n. In addition, it should be noted that if $C_\nu =$

$C((X_1))\dots((X_\nu))$, where C is an algebraically closed field and $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\mathrm{Brd}_p(F) = \mathrm{abrd}_p(F) = \nu$ in case F/C_ν is a rational extension, $\mathrm{trd}(F/E) = 1$, $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and $p \neq \mathrm{char}(C)$. This has been proved by Lieblich and Krashen, see [23], Corollary 1.4 and page 37, and combined with (1.5) and Lemma 3.3, requires that $\dim(C_\nu) \geq \nu - 1$. On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 5.2 that if $\mathrm{char}(C) = 0$, then $\mathrm{Brd}(C_\nu) = [\nu/2]$. Thus the number $c(E)$ in (1.5) essentially depends on the choice of E and may be arbitrary large.

Statement (1.4), Remark 5.5 and the observations at the end of Section 4 raise interest in the following variant of (1.3):

(5.1) Given a pair $(k,p) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{P}$, find whether for every finitely-generated field extension F/E with $\mathrm{trd}(F/E) = 1$, $\mathrm{char}(E) \neq p$ and $\mathrm{abrd}_p(E) \leq k$, we have $\mathrm{Brd}_p(F) \leq c(k,p)$, where $c(k,p)$ is an integer depending only on (k,p).

The existence of fields $E_{0,k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, with the properties required by Theorem 1.3 can also be proved by applying Proposition 5.3 to the case where q is a Fermat prime number, in combination with the following result (an essential part of which is contained in [14], Corollary 22.2.3).

Proposition 5.6. *Let E be a field of characteristic $q > 0$ and F/E a finitely-generated extension. Then there exists a field E' with $\mathrm{char}(E') = 0$ and a finitely-generated extension F'/E' satisfying the following:*
(i) *$\mathcal{G}_{E'} \cong \mathcal{G}_E$ and $\mathrm{trd}(F'/E') = \mathrm{trd}(F/E)$;*
(ii) *$\mathrm{Brd}_p(F') \geq \mathrm{Brd}_p(F)$, $\mathrm{abrd}_p(F') \geq \mathrm{abrd}_p(F)$, $\mathrm{Brd}_p(E') = \mathrm{Brd}_p(E)$ and $\mathrm{abrd}_p(E') = \mathrm{abrd}_p(E)$, for each $p \in \mathbb{P}$ different from q.*

Proof. It follows from the Albert-Hochschild theorem (cf. [36], Ch. II, 2.2) that the scalar extension map of $\mathrm{Br}(E)$ into $\mathrm{Br}(E_1)$ is surjective whenever E_1/E is a purely inseparable field extension. Since finite extensions of E in E_1 are of q-primary degrees, one also obtains from (1.1) (ii) that $\mathrm{ind}(D \otimes_E E_1) = \mathrm{ind}(D)$ and $\exp(D \otimes_E E_1) = \exp(D)$, provided that $D \in d(E)$ and $q \dagger \mathrm{ind}(D)$. It is therefore clear that $\mathrm{Brd}_p(E) = \mathrm{Brd}_p(E_{\mathrm{ins}})$ and $\mathrm{abrd}_p(E) = \mathrm{abrd}_p(E_{\mathrm{ins}})$, for each $p \in \mathbb{P}$ different from q, where E_{ins} is the maximal purely inseparable extension of E in an algebraic closure $\overline{E}$ of E. These observations, combined with the fact that $\mathcal{G}_{E_{\mathrm{ins}}} \cong \mathcal{G}_E$ (see [21], Ch. VII, Proposition 12), reduce the proof of Proposition 5.6 to the special case where E is perfect. It is known (see [14], Examples 18.3.4) that then there exists a Henselian discrete valued field (K,v) with $\mathrm{char}(K) = 0$ and $\widehat{K} \cong E$. Moreover, (K,v) can be chosen so that $v(q)$ be a generator of $v(K)$. At the same time, the assumption that E is perfect guarantees that the extension F/E is separably generated (cf. [21], Ch. X). This implies the existence of a finitely-generated field extension L/K, such that $\mathrm{trd}(L/K) = \mathrm{trd}(F/E)$ and L has a valuation ω extending v so that $\omega(L) = v(K)$ and the residue field $\widehat{L}$ be E-isomorphic to F (see [14], Examples 18.3.4). Let now $E' \in I(K_{\mathrm{sep}}/K)$ be a field, such that $E'K_{\mathrm{ur}} = K_{\mathrm{sep}}$ and $E' \cap K_{\mathrm{ur}} = K$ (the existence of E' follows from (2.4) (i) and (ii)). Identifying K_{sep} with its K-isomorphic copy in L_{sep}, we show that the field extension F'/E' has the properties required by Proposition 5.6, where $F' = LE'$. Indeed, it follows from the assumptions on E' that $v(E') = \mathbb{Q}$, $\widehat{E}' = E$ and $E'_{\mathrm{ur}} = K_{\mathrm{sep}}$. In view of (2.3) and (2.5) (or [22], Corollary 4.1.2.3), this indicates that $\mathcal{G}_{E'} \cong \mathcal{G}_E$, and for any $p \in \mathbb{P}$, $\mathrm{Brd}_p(E') = \mathrm{Brd}_p(E)$ and $\mathrm{abrd}_p(E') = \mathrm{abrd}_p(E)$. Observe now that each finite extension R of F in F' has a unique valuation ω_R extending ω with $\omega_R(R) = \mathbb{Q}$. Note also that $(R, \omega_R)/(L, \omega)$ is tamely and totally ramified. Thus it turns out that ω extends uniquely to a valuation ω' of F' with $\omega'(F') = \mathbb{Q}$ and a residue field $\widehat{F'} \cong F$ over E. Applying (2.3) and (2.5) to a Henselization of (F', ω'), and using Lemma 2.1 and the obtained properties of (F', ω'), one concludes that $\mathrm{Brd}_p(F') \geq \mathrm{Brd}_p(F)$ and $\mathrm{abrd}_p(F') \geq \mathrm{abrd}_p(F)$, for every $p \in \mathbb{P}$. Since, obviously, F'/E' is finitely-generated, these results prove Proposition 5.6. ☐

Remark 5.7. Proposition 5.6 shows that the answer to (1.3) will be positive, for fields E with $\mathcal{G}_E \in C$, where C is a fixed class of profinite groups, and for finitely-generated extensions F/E with $\mathrm{trd}(F/E) \leq n$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if this holds in zero characteristic (for a similar proof of this reduction, see [22], 4.1.2.3). In view of de Jong's theorem [19], this implies that if $n = 2$, $\mathrm{char}(E) = q > 0$ and $E_{\mathrm{sep}} = E$, then $\mathrm{ind}(D) = \exp(D)$ whenever $D \in d(F)$ and $q \dagger \mathrm{ind}(D)$. Hence, by (1.1) and Lemma 3.5, it suffices for the proof of [22], Theorem 4.2.2.3, to consider division algebras of exponent q.

Theorem 1.2 and our next result single out a large class of fields whose finitely-generated transcendental extensions F have the property required by the former assertion of (1.2).

Corollary 5.8. *Assume that* $b_p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, $p \in \mathbb{P} \cup \{0\}$, *is a sequence satisfying the condition* $b_p = \infty$, $p \in P_q \setminus \{q\}$, *where* P_q *is defined as in Theorem 1.3, for some* $q \in \mathbb{P} \cup \{0\}$. *Then there exists a field* E *of characteristic* q, *such that* $\mathrm{Brd}_p(E) = b_p$ *and* $\mathrm{abrd}_p(E) = \infty$, *for each* $p \in \mathbb{P}$.

Proof. This follows at once from Proposition 5.3 and Lemma 5.4. ☐

When c_p, $p \in \mathbb{P}$, is an unbounded sequence of integers ≥ 0, the fields singled out by Proposition 5.3 have the properties required by (1.6) (i). As to (1.6) (ii), it is implied by Lemmas 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, and our concluding result.

Corollary 5.9. *In the setting of Lemma 5.1, let* $\widehat{K}$ *be a quasifinite field with* $\varepsilon_p \notin \widehat{K}$, *for any* $p \in \mathbb{P} \setminus \{2\}$, *and let* U_n *be the degree* n *inertial extension of* K *in* K_{sep}, *for a fixed integer* $n \geq 2$. *Suppose that* $P_n = \{p_n \in \mathbb{P} \colon\ n \mid p_n - 1\}$, $[\widehat{K}(\varepsilon_{p_n}) \colon \widehat{K}] = n$, *for each* $p_n \in \mathbb{P}_n$, *and the sequence* $\tau(p) \colon\ p \in \mathbb{P}$, *satisfies the condition* $\tau(p) = \infty$ *if and only if* $p \in \mathbb{P}_n$. *Then a field* $L \in \mathrm{Fe}(K)$ *has* p*-dimensions* $\mathrm{Brd}_p(L) < \infty$, $p \in \mathbb{P}$, *if and only if* $U_n \notin I(L/K)$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 5.2 and our assumptions that if $p \notin P_n$, then $\mathrm{Brd}_p(L) \leq \mathrm{abrd}_p(K) < \infty$. When $p \in P_n$, one sees that L contains a primitive p-th root of unity if and only if $U_n \subseteq L$, which reduces our assertion to a consequence of Lemma 5.1. ☐

References

[1] A.A. Albert, *Structure of Algebras*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. XXIV, 1939.

[2] R. Aravire and B. Jacob, *p-algebras over maximally complete fields. Appendix: $\mathbb{F}_p((X))((Y))$ is linked: An alternative proof. By Jean-Pierre Tignol*, Jacob, Bill (ed.) et al., K-theory and algebraic geometry: connections with quadratic forms and division algebras. Summer Research Institute on quadratic forms and division algebras, July 6-24, 1992, Univ. of California, Santa Barbara, CA (USA). Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **58** (1995), Part 2, 27-43, appendix: 44-49.

[3] A. Auel, E. Brussel, S. Garibaldi and U. Vishne, *Open Problems on central simple algebras*, Transform. Groups **16** (2011), 219-264.

[4] J.W.S. Cassels and A. Fröhlich (Eds.), Algebraic Number Theory. Proc. Instruct. Conf., organized by LMS (a NATO Adv. Study Inst.) with the support of IMU, Univ. of Sussex, Brighton, 01.9-17.9., 1965, Academic Press, London-New York, 1967.

[5] I.D. Chipchakov, *On the classification of central division algebras of linearly bounded degree over global fields and local fields*, J. Algebra **160** (1993), 342-379.

[6] I.D. Chipchakov, *Henselian valued stable fields*, J. Algebra **206** (1998), 344-369.

[7] I.D. Chipchakov, *On the residue fields of Henselian valued stable fields*, I, J. Algebra **319** (2008), 16-49.

[8] I.D. Chipchakov, *Demushkin groups and inverse Galois theory for pro-p-groups of finite rank and maximal p-extensions*, Preprint, arXiv:1103.2114v3 [math.RA].

[9] I.D. Chipchakov, *On Brauer p-dimensions and absolute Brauer p-dimensions of Henselian fields*, Preprint.

[10] P.K. Draxl, *Skew Fields*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes, vol. 81, Cambridge University Press IX, Cambridge etc., 1983.

[11] P.K. Draxl, *Ostrowski's theorem for Henselian valued skew fields*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **354** (1984), 213-218.

[12] L. Ducos, *Réalisation régulière explicite des groupes abéliens finis comme groupes de Galois*, J. Number Theory **74** (1999), 44-55.

[13] I. Efrat, *A Hasse principle for function fields over PAC fields*, Isr. J. Math. **122** (2001), 43-60.

[14] I. Efrat, *Valuations, Orderings, and Milnor K-Theory*, Math. Surveys and Monographs, 124, Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc., XIII, 2006.

[15] Yu.L. Ershov, *Decision Problems and Constructivizable Models*, Mat. Logika i Osnovaniya Matematiki, Nauka, Moscow, 1980 (Russian).

[16] Yu.L. Ershov, *Co-Henselization extensions and Henselizations of division algebras*, Algebra i Logika **27** (1988), 649-658 (Russian: English transl. in: Algebra Logic **27** (1988), 401-407).

[17] K.W. Gruenberg, *Projective profinite groups*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **42** (1967), 155-165.

[18] B. Jacob and A. Wadsworth, *Division algebras over Henselian fields*, J. Algebra **128** (1990), 126-179.

[19] A.J. de Jong, *The period-index problem for the Brauer group of an algebraic surface*, Duke Math. J. **123** (2004), 71-94.

[20] S. Lang, *On quasi algebraic closure*, Ann. Math. (2) **55** (1952), 373-390.

[21] S. Lang, *Algebra*, Addison-Wesley Publ. Comp., Mass., 1965.

[22] M. Lieblich, *Twisted sheaves and the period-index problem*, Compos. Math. **144** (2008), 1-31.

[23] M. Lieblich, *Period and index in the Brauer group of an arithmetic surface. With an appendix by D. Krashen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **659** (2011), 1-41.

[24] F. Lorenz and P. Roquette, *The theorem of Grunwald-Wang in the setting of valuation theory*, F.-V. Kuhlmann (ed.) et. al., Valuation theory and its applications, vol. II (Saskatoon, SK, 1999), 175-212, Fields Inst. Commun., **33**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.

[25] O.V. Mel'nikov and O.I. Tavgen', *The absolute Galois group of a Henselian field*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk BSSR **29** (1985), 581-583.

[26] A.S. Merkur'ev, *Brauer groups of fields*, Comm. Algebra **11** (1983), 2611-2624.

[27] A.S. Merkur'ev and A.A. Suslin, *K-cohomology of Severi-Brauer varieties and norm residue homomomorphisms*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR **46** (1982), 1011-1046 (Russian: English transl. in: Math. USSR Izv. **21** (1983), 307-340).

[28] H. Miki, *On Grunwald-Hasse-Wang's theorem*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **30** (1978), 313-325.

[29] P. Morandi, *The Henselization of a valued division algebra*, J. Algebra bf 122 (1989), 232-243.

[30] R. Pierce, *Associative Algebras*, Graduate Texts in Math., vol. 88, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1982.

[31] M. Reiner, *Maximal Orders*, London Math. Soc. Monographs, vol. 5, London-New York-San Francisco: Academic Press, a subsidiary of Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers, 1975.

[32] D.J. Saltman, *Generic Galois extensions and problems in field theory*, Adv. Math. **43** (1982), 250-283.

[33] D.J. Saltman, *Generic algebras*, Brauer groups in ring theory and algebraic geometry, Proc., Antwerp, 1981, Lect. Notes in Math. **917** (1982), 96-117.

[34] D.J. Saltman, *Division algebras over p-adic curves*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **12** (1997), 25-47 (correction in: ibid. **13** (1998), 125-129).

[35] J.-P. Serre, *Sur la dimension cohomologique des groupes profinis*, Topology **3** (1965), 413-420.

[36] J.-P. Serre, *Cohomologie Galoisienne*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 5, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1965.

[37] I.L. Tomchin and V.I. Yanchevskij, *On defects of valued division algebras*, Algebra i Analiz **3** (1991), 147-164 (Russian: English transl. in St. Petersbg. Math. J. **3** (1992), 631-647).

[38] A.R. Wadsworth, *Extending valuations to finite-dimensional division algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **98** (1986), 20-22.

[39] W.C. Waterhouse, *Profinite groups are Galois groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **42** (1974), 639-640.

[40] G. Whaples, *Algebraic extensions of arbitrary fields*, Duke Math. J. **24** (1957), 201-204.

Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Acad. G. Bonchev Str., bl. 8, 1113, Sofia, Bulgaria; chipchak@math.bas.bg